SALAM RAZUKI, an individual; All persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien or interest in the properties described in the Crosscomplaint adverse to Cross-complainant's title thereto; and ROES 1-15, inclusive.

Cross-defendants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cross-complainant San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Cross-complainant San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC ("Cross-complainant" or "SDUHG") is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in San Diego County, California.
- 2. Cross-defendant Razuki Investments, LLC is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a California limited liability company with its principal place of business in San Diego County, California.
- 3. Cross-defendant Salam Razuki is, and at all times relevant to this action was, an individual residing in San Diego County, California.
 - 4. Collectively Razuki Investments and Salam Razuki ("Cross-defendants").
- 5. SDUHG owns a 100% interest in real property located at 8861 Balboa Ave, Suite B, San Diego, California 92123 (APN 369-150-13-23) ("8861 Balboa").
- 6. SDUHG owns a 100% interest in real property located at 8863 Balboa Ave, Suite E, San Diego, California 92123 (APN 369-150-13-15) ("8863 Balboa").
- 7. 8861 Balboa and 8863 Balboa are collectively referred to as the "Properties." A complete legal description of the Properties is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.
- 8. Cross-complainant does not know the true names of Cross-defendants All Persons Unknown, Claiming Any Legal or Equitable Right, Title, Estate, Lien, or Interest in the Properties Described in the Cross-complaint adverse to Cross-complainant's title or any cloud on Cross-complainant's title thereto and ROES 1-15 inclusive, and therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Cross-complainant will amend this Cross-complaint to allege their true names

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and capacities when ascertained. Cross-complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times mentioned in this Cross-complaint, each of the fictitiously named Cross-defendants are responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages to Crosscomplainant so alleged and that such injuries and damages were proximately caused by Crossdefendants, and each of them. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that each of the ROE defendants claims, or may claim, some interest in the real properties described in this Crosscomplaint.

9. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Cross-defendants were the agents, employees, servants and/or the joint-venturers of the remaining Cross-defendants, and each of them, and in doing the things alleged herein below, were acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment and/or joint venture.

JURISDICTION

- 10. The transaction and events which are the subject matter of this Cross-complaint all occurred in San Diego County, California.
 - 11. 8861 Balboa and 8863 Balboa are located in San Diego County, California.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. In or about July 2015, the City of San Diego ("City") Planning Commission approved a Conditional Use Permit for a medical marijuana consumer cooperative ("MMCC CUP") at 8863 Balboa. At that time, 8863 Balboa was owned by a California limited liability company named Leading Edge Real Estate.
- 13. On July 29, 2015, the MMCC CUP was recorded with the San Diego County Recorded as a covenant running with the land as to 8863 Balboa.
- 14. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that between July 29, 2015 and August 2016, a California limited liability company named High Sierra Equity ("High Sierra") acquired title to 8863 Balboa and 8861 Balboa was owned by a trust named The Melograno Trust.
- 15. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that by August 2016, The Melograno Trust and High Sierra simultaneously offered 8861 and 8863 Balboa for sale and that Cross-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

defendants learned the Properties were for sale.

- 16. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that on or about August 22, 2016, Razuki Investments offered to purchase 8863 Balboa from High Sierra for \$375,000 and 8861 Balboa from The Melograno Trust for \$375,000. No steps had been taken to open the marijuana dispensary at 8863 Balboa e.g. no tenant improvements had been done and no steps had been taken to have a certificate of occupancy issued by the City.
- Cross-complainant is further informed and believes that Cross-defendants learned 17. the Properties were part of commercial homeowners' association named Montgomery Field Business Condominiums Association ("HOA") and that the HOA adamantly opposed the MMCC and had threatened to sue the property owner and the MMCC operator when it opened.
- 18. On or about October 4, 2016, Razuki Investments purchased 8861 and 8863 Balboa for \$750,000. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that Razuki Investments and/or Salam Razuki borrowed money to acquire the Properties and that Razuki Investments and/or Salam Razuki borrowed money from TGP Opportunity Fund I, LLC and that TGP Opportunity Fund I, LLC secured the note through a Deed of Trust.
- 19. On or about October 4, 2016, a Deed of Trust was recorded in the Properties' chain of title; Razuki Investments as Trustor granted a Deed of Trust for the benefit of a limited liability company named TGP Opportunity Fund I, LLC and named a California corporation named FCI Lender Services, Inc. as the trustee ("TGP Deed of Trust").
- 20. Between October 4, 2016 and March 20, 2017, Cross-defendants made no attempt to open the MMCC and did nothing to improve the Properties. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that Cross-defendants decided they did not want to battle the HOA and did not want to pay for and manage the tenant improvements and conditions required by the MMCC CUP.
- 21. On or about March 20, 2017, Cross-complainant purchased 8861 Balboa and 8863 Balboa from Razuki Investments for \$750,000. Cross-complainant purchased the Properties subject to the TGP Deed of Trust, in the amount of \$475,000 at closing, and knew that it would be imminently required to borrow money to pay off the TGP Mortgage to allow for a reconveyance of the TGP Deed of Trust.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 22. On or about March 20, 2017, a Deed of Trust was recorded in the Properties' chain of title; Cross-complainant as Trustor granted a Deed of Trust for the benefit of Razuki Investments and named a California corporation named Allison-McCloskey Escrow Company as the trustee ("Razuki Deed of Trust").
- 23. On or about May 11, 2017, to pay off the TGP Deed of Trust and to relieve Razuki Investments of its obligation on the TGP Note and TGP Deed of Trust, Cross-complainant borrowed money, as evidenced by a note and a Deed of Trust.
- 24. On May 15, 2017, a Substitution of Trustee and Deed of Reconveyance for the Razuki Deed of Trust ("Razuki Deed of Reconveyance") was recorded with the San Diego County recorder. The Razuki Deed of Reconveyance reconveyed to person or persons legally entitled the estate held under the Razuki Deed of Trust. At the time the Razuki Deed of Reconveyance was recorded, Cross-complainant and TGP became the "persons" legally entitled to all estate, title, and interest in the Properties.
- 25. On or about May 15, 2017, a Deed of Trust was recorded in the Properties' chain of title; San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC as Trustor of the Properties granted a Deed of Trust for the benefit of Michael J. Hall and Linda D. Hall, Trustees of the Hall Family Trust dated June 14, 1989 and named a California corporation named Statewide Reconveyance Group, Inc. dba Statewide Foreclosure Services as the trustee ("Hall Deed of Trust").
- 26. On or about May 31, 2017, a Deed of Reconveyance for the TGP Deed of Trust ("TGP Deed of Reconveyance") was recorded with the San Diego County recorder. The TGP Deed of Reconveyance reconveyed to person or persons legally entitled the estate, title and interest held by the TGP Deed of Trust with respect to the Properties. At the time the TGP Deed of Reconveyance was recorded, Cross-complainant and the Hall Family Trust became the "persons" legally entitled to all estate, title, and interest in the Properties.
- 27. In or about May 2017, the MMCC opened at 8863 Balboa. SDUHG paid all expenses related to the MMCC CUP and through the date of this Cross-complaint has paid all expenses related to the Properties including property taxes, HOA fees and assessments, the mortgage, and CUP related expenses.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 28. In or about June 2018, Cross-complainant learned that Cross-defendants had informed a third party that one or both had some interest in the Properties. Cross-complainant became extremely concerned by this statement and this Cross-complaint ensued.
- 29. Cross-defendants cannot show proper receipt, possession, transfer, negotiations, assignment or ownership of the Properties, the Note or Deed of Trust, resulting in no interest or claim to the Properties.
- 30. Cross-complainant has perfected title and therefore Cross-defendants cannot establish that they legally or properly hold any interest in the Properties.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

QUIET TITLE

(Against All Cross-defendants)

- 31. Cross-complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- Cross-complainant is the fee owner of the Properties and Cross-complainant's title 32. to the Properties is derived from its March 22, 2017 purchase from Razuki Investments for \$750,000, which is secured by a note and the Hall Deed of Trust.
- 33. All Cross-defendants named herein claim an interest and estate in the property adverse to Cross-complainant in that Cross-defendants assert they are an owner or have an interest in the Properties by a debt instrument.
- 34. Cross-defendants claims are without any right whatsoever and Cross-defendants have no right, estate, title, lien or interest in or to the Properties or any part of the Properties.
- 35. Cross-defendants claims, and each of them, claim some estate, right, title, lien or interest in or to the Properties adverse to Cross-complainant's title and these claims constitute a cloud on Cross-complainant's title to the Properties.
- 36. Cross-complainant requests a determination of its fee simple title as of the date it purchased the Properties from Razuki Investments.

27 ///

28 ///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(Against All Cross-defendants)

- 37. Cross-complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Cross-complainant and Cross-defendants regarding their respective rights and duties to include Cross-complainants purchase of the Properties and the Razuki Deed of Reconveyance.
- 39. Cross-complainant contends that Cross-defendants, and each of them, do not have any right or title to the Properties and cannot prove to the court that they have a valid interest. Cross-complainant further contends it is not indebted to Cross-defendants for any debt related to the Properties, whether secured or unsecured.
- 40. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that Cross-defendants dispute Cross-complainant's contention and instead contend that they have an interest in the Properties and that Cross-complainant owes Cross-defendants money, whether secured or unsecured, related to the Properties.
- 41. Cross-complainant requests a judicial determination of the rights, obligations and interest of the parties with respect to the Properties, and such determination is necessary and appropriate at this time, and under the circumstances, so that all parties may ascertain and know their rights, obligations and interest with respect to the Properties.
- 42. Cross-complainant requests a determination that the its purchase, the Hall Deed of Trust and the Razuki Deed of Reconveyance are valid and that Cross-defendants have no rights under, at a minimum, the Razuki Deed of Trust. Cross-complainant also requests a determination that it is not indebted to Cross-defendants for any debt related to the Properties, whether secured or unsecured.
- 43. Cross-complainant requests all adverse claims to the Properties be determined by a decree of this Court.

///

1	44.	Cross-complainant requests the decree declare and adjudge that Cross-complainant			
2	is entitled to exc	clusive possession of the Properties subject to the Hall Deed of Trust.			
3	45. C	Cross-complainant requests the decree declare and adjudge that Cross-complainant			
4	owns in fee sim	ple and is entitled to the quiet and peaceful possession of the Properties subject to			
5	the Hall Deed of	f Trust.			
6	46. C	cross-complainant requests the decree declare and adjudge that Cross-defendants,			
7	and each of then	and each of them, and all persons claiming under them, have no estate, right, title, lien, or interest			
8	in or to the Prop	erties or any part of the Properties.			
9		PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
10	WHERE	FORE, Cross-complainant prays for the following:			
11	1. F	or judgment quieting Cross-complainant's fee simple title to the Properties, and			
12	that Cross-defen	dants have no right, title, or interest in or to the Properties;			
13	2. F	or Declaratory Relief, including, but not limited to the following:			
14	a.	Cross-complainant is the prevailing party;			
15	b.	. Cross-defendants have no enforceable secured or unsecured claim against the			
16		Properties;			
17	c.	Cross-complainant is entitled to exclusive possession of the Properties;			
18	d.	Cross-complainant owns in fee simple, and is entitled to the quiet and peaceful			
19		possession of the Properties;			
20	e.	Cross-defendants and all persons claiming any right or title to the Properties			
21		have no estate, right, title, lien, or interest in or to the Properties or any part of			
22		the Properties.			
23	f.	Cross-complainant is not indebted to Cross-defendants for any debt related to			
24		the Properties, whether secured or unsecured.			
25	3. Fo	or attorneys' fees and costs as permitted by law;			
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				

4. For any other and further relief the Court deems proj	4.	For any other and	further relief the	Court deems prope
--	----	-------------------	--------------------	-------------------

Dated: June 26, 2018

AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC

Janara M. Leadam

By: Gina M. Austin/Tamara M. Leetham Attorneys for Cross-complainant San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC

AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-112 San Diego, CA 92110

VERIFICATION

I am the manager and sole member for Cross-complainant in this action. I have read the foregoing Cross-complaint for Quiet Title and Declaratory Relief and know its contents. The matters stated in the Cross-complaint are true based on my own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under California state law that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed June 26, 2018 in San Diego, California.

San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC

By: Ninus Malan

Its: Sole member and manager

Exhibit A

EXHIBIT A

Legal Description

8863 Balboa Ave, Suite E, San Diego 92123

The land hereinafter referred to is situated in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of CA, and is described as follows:

A Condominium comprised of:

Parcel 1:

An undivided 1/46th interest in and to the Southwesterly 219.55 feet of the Northeasterly 413.55 feet of Lot 9 of the City of San Diego Industrial Park Unit No. 2, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Map thereof No. 4113, Filed in the Office of the County recorder of San Diego County, March 12, 1959.

Excepting therefrom all office units and industrial units as shown upon that certain Condominium Plan recorded July 31, 1981 as File/Page No. 81-242888 of official records.

Also excepting therefrom the exclusive right to use and possession of all those exclusive use areas designated as parking spaces as shown upon the Condominium Plan above referred to.

Parcel 2:

Unit No. 8863E as shown on the Condominium Plan referred to in Parcel 1 above.

Parcel 3:

The exclusive right to use and possession of those portions of said land described in Parcel 1 above, designated as Parking Space Nos. E-32 and E-31.

APN: 369-150-13-23

EXHIBIT A

Legal Description

8861 Balboa Ave, Suite B, San Diego 92123

The land hereinafter referred to is situated in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of CA, and is described as follows:

A Condominium comprised of:

Parcel 1:

1 - 7

An undivided 1/46th interest in and to the Southwesterly 219.55 feet of the Northeasterly 413.55 feet of Lot 9 of the City of San Diego Industrial Park Unit No. 2, in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California, according to Map thereof No. 4113, Filed in the Office of the County recorder of San Diego County, March 12, 1959.

Excepting therefrom all office units and industrial units as shown upon that certain Condominium Plan recorded July 31, 1981 as File/Page No. 81-242888 of official records.

Also excepting therefrom the exclusive right to use and possession of all those exclusive use areas designated as parking spaces as shown upon the Condominium Plan above referred to.

Parcel 2:

Unit No. 8861B as shown on the Condominium Plan referred to in Parcel 1 above.

Parcel 3:

The exclusive right to use and possession of those portions of said land described in Parcel 1 above, designated as Parking Space Nos. B-48, B-47 and Airplane Parking Space No. (None).

APN: 369-150-13-15