	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNTY	URT OF CALIFORNIA OF SAN DIEGO
	10	AVAIL SHIPPING, INC., a California corporation;	CASE NO. 37-2018-00022710-CU-FR-CTL
PC 12	11 12	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT SAN DIEGO UNITED HOLDINGS GROUP, LLC
	12	v.	Complaint Filed: May 8, 2018
JUP , <i>A</i> Ste A-1 2110	14	RAZUKI INVESTMENTS, LLC, a	1 5 7
nL GR n Ave, b, CA 9	15	California limited liability company, SALAM RAZUKI, an individual;	
USTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-112 San Diego, CA 92110	16	MARVIN RAZUKI, an individual; AMERICAN LENDING AND	
USTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 9990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-112 San Diego, CA 92110	17	HOLDINGS, LLC, a California limited liability company; SAN DIEGO PRIVATE INVESTMENTS, LLC, a California	
35 JA	18	limited liability company; SH WESTPOINT GROUP, LLC, a limited	
	19	liability company; SAN DIEGO UNITED HOLDINGS GROUP, LLC, a California	
	20	limited liability company; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive.	
	21 22	Defendants.	
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26	///	
	27	///	
	28	///	1
		ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDA	ANT SAN DIEGO UNITED HOLDINGS GROUP, LLC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Defendant San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC ("SDUHG" or "Defendant") hereby submits this answer to the Complaint of plaintiff Avail Shipping, Inc. ("Avail" or "Plaintiff"):

Pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30, SDUHG generally and specifically denies each, every, and all of the allegations in the Complaint, including each and every purported cause of action contained therein. SDUHG further denies that Plaintiff has or will sustain damages in an amount alleged or in any amount whatsoever.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant alleges the following defenses as separate and distinct affirmative defenses to the Complaint, and to each and every cause of action stated therein, but in asserting these defenses, Defendant does not assume the burden of proof as to matters that are Plaintiff's burden to prove.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1. The conduct set forth in the complaint, if and to the extent it occurred, was privileged and justified and done with a good faith belief it was correct and no action may be taken against Defendant on account of such conduct, if any.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2. The causes of action alleged against Defendant are barred by each and every applicable statute of limitations, including, but not limited to Code of Civil Procedure section 339.

20

18

19

21

22

23

24

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. The Complaint, and each and every purported cause of action alleged therein against Defendant, is barred by the doctrine of laches due to Plaintiff's unreasonable delay in bringing the action and the resulting prejudice to Defendant.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25 4. Plaintiff, with actual or constructive knowledge of the facts alleged in the 26 Complaint, was under a duty to mitigate damages, if any, and has failed to fulfill such duty; as a 27 consequence, Defendant was and is exonerated from any liability to Plaintiff, and damages, if 28 any, are the sole and approximate result of Plaintiff's failure to mitigate damages.

2

1	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
2	5. The Complaint, and each and every purported cause of action alleged therein			
3	against Defendant, is barred by the doctrines of waiver and estoppel.			
4	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
5	7. The actions taken by Defendant as alleged in the Complaint were in good faith			
6	and, to the extent Plaintiff seeks punitive damages for any purported acts of the Defendant, the			
7	complaint, and each cause of action allege therein, fails to state facts sufficient to justify an award			
8	of punitive damages, and that the Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein, fails to state			
9	specific facts to support the underlying allegations of malice, oppression and/or reckless			
10	indifference.			
11	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
12	8. Defendant was a bona fide purchaser.			
13	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
14	9. Defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each and all of the			
15	alleged rights, claims and obligations which Plaintiff seeks by way of the Complaint have been			
16	released.			
17	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
18	10. Defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff has unclean			
19	hands with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint and is therefore barred from recovering			
20	against Defendant.			
21	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
22	11. Without conceding that any damages are owed to Plaintiff, which supposition is			
23	made solely for the purposes of this affirmative defense, Defendant is informed and believes and			
24	thereon alleges that any damage or loss proven to have been sustained by Plaintiff is as a direct			
25	and approximate result of the independent acts and unlawful conduct of Plaintiff and/or third			
26	parties or its agents or employees, not foreseen by any act or admission on the part of Defendant.			
27	By reason thereof, any right of recovery of Plaintiff from Defendant should be reduced by that			
28	amount which the fault of the persons or entities other than Defendant contributed to any of the 3			
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT SAN DIEGO UNITED HOLDINGS GROUP, LLC			

AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-112 San Diego, CA 92110

	1	damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff.		
	2	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
	3	12. Defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff is barred		
	4	from relief sought by the Complaint in that the equities do not preponderate in favor of Plaintiff,		
	5	but rather in favor of Defendant.		
	6	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
	7	13. Defendant is informed and believes that attorneys' fees and punitive or exemplary		
	8	damages are not permissible.		
	9	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
	10	14. Defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that it presently has		
	11	insufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether there are additional, as of yet		
	12	unstated, affirmative defenses available. Defendant, therefore, reserves the right to assert		
, APC A-112 0	13	additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates such would be appropriate		
ROUF e, Ste . v 9211(14			
AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-112 San Diego, CA 92110	15	<u>PRAYER</u>		
	16	WHEREFORE, having fully answered the Complaint, Defendant prays as follows:		
	17	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of the Complaint;		
	18	2. For reasonable expenses, including attorneys fees;		
	19	3. For costs of suit incurred herein;		
	20	4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
	21	DATED: June 27, 2018 AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC		
	22 23	By: Jamouall. Loglam		
	23 24	Gina Austin/Tamara Leetham		
	25	Attorneys for Defendants Ninus Malan, San Diego United Holdings Group, LLC, and		
	26	American Lending and Holdings, LLC		
	27			
	28			
		4		
		ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT SAN DIEGO UNITED HOLDINGS GROUP, LLC		