1 2	DOUGLAS JAFFE, ESQ. Bar No. 170354 LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS JAFFE 501 West Broadway, Suite 800	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego	
	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-4945	11/02/2018 at 11:53:00 AM	
3	Facsimile: (619) 400-4945	Clerk of the Superior Court By E- Filing,Deputy Clerk	
4	Attorneys for Razuki Investments, LLC,		
5	San Diego Private Investments IIC		
6	SH Westpoint Group, LLC, Salam Razuki and Marvin Razuki		
7			
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL		
11	AVAIL SHIPPING, INC.,	Case No.: 37-2018-00022710-CU-FR-CTL	
12		Case No.: 57-2018-00022710-CO-FR-C1L	
13		REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEMURRER	
14	vs.	TO COMPLAINT	
15		DATE: November 9, 2018 TIME: 9:00 a.m.	
16		DEPT: 67 JUDGE: Hon. Eddie C. Sturgeon	
17	Defendants.	Filed: May 8, 2018	
18		Trial Date: Not set	
19	)		
20	Defendants Razuki Investments, LLC, San Diego Private Investments, LLC, SH		
21	Westpoint Group, LLC, Salam Razuki and Marvin Razuki submit their Reply In Support Of The		
22	Demurrer To Complaint as follows:		
23	The opposition acknowledges that Plaintiff Avail Shipping, Inc. ("Avail Shipping") must		
24	allege a claim for fraud, not conspiracy, in order to properly plead a claim pursuant to the		
25	Uniform Voidable Transactions Act, Civil Code § 3439, et. seq. General and conclusory		
26	allegations do not suffice. See, Lo v. Lee (2018) 24 Cal.App.5th 1065 (demurrer to UVTA		
27	claims sustained without leave to amend).		
00	1		

Reply In Support Of Demurrer To Complaint

Avail Shipping's Complaint tries to use conspiracy allegations as a substitute for actually pleading fraud, but since conspiracy claims could easily be invoked to draw in defendants in many different circumstances, a civil conspiracy is not sufficiently alleged by bare legal conclusions, inferences, generalities, presumptions, and conclusions. *See, Nicholson v. McClatchy Newspapers* (1986) 177 Cal.App.3d 509, 521. More than mere association is required to establish a conspiracy. *See, Davis v. Superior Court In and For Marin County* (1959) 175 Cal.App.2d 8, 23.

Avail Shipping improperly attempts to lump all the Defendants together with bare legal conclusions, inferences, generalities, presumptions, and conclusions. *See*, Complaint at paragraphs 13 through 16. "From this point on, the term "Defendant" or "Defendants" shall mean and refer to all DOE Defendants and all named Defendants, and to each of them." *See*, Complaint at paragraph 13. "Uncertain" includes ambiguous and unintelligible. *See*, C.C.P. section 430.10(f); *See also, Hawley Bros. Hardware Co. v. Brownstone* (1899) 123 Cal. 643, 645-648 (holding that a demurrer should have been sustained for uncertainty where the complaint indiscriminately used "defendant" to refer to several possible parties).

The alleged fraudulent transfers in this action were made in the ordinary course of business. Razuki Investments has deposited the full amount of the judgment with the Court. The amount deposited is at least \$45,000 more than the amount of the judgment since Avail Shipping has failed to pay its rent to Razuki Investments for the last 7 months as an alleged "offset".

Avail Shipping is pursuing this action without support, just as its claims against Salam Razuki individually in the arbitration were so unsupported that the Arbitrator granted a non-suit in favor of Mr. Razuki and against Avail Shipping. *See*, Exhibit A to the Complaint.

Avail Shipping is pursuing this action to try and get the Razuki Defendants in this action to pay the alleged damages which the Arbitrator rejected.

## Reply In Support Of Demurrer To Complaint

1	Defendants Razuki Investments, LLC, San Diego Private Investments, LLC, SH		
2	Westpoint Group, LLC, Salam Razuki and Marvin Razuki request the Court sustain the demurrer		
3	and dismiss the Complaint without leave to amend.		
4			
5	Dated: November 2, 2018		
6	LAW OFFICES DOUGLAS JAFFE		
7	BY:		
8	Douglas Jaffe,/Esq.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	r		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	•		
25			
26			
27	3		
28	Reply In Support Of Demurrer To Complaint		

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to or interested in the within entitled action. My business address is 501 West Broadway, Suite 800, San Diego, California 92101.

On November 2, 2018, I served the foregoing

## **REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT**

by electronic service through One Legal, by email addressed as follows:

Kyle Yaege, Esq. Hickman & Robinson 701 B Street, Suite 1310 San Diego, CA 92101 kyle@hickmanrobinsonlaw.com

Tamara Leetham, Esq. Austin Law Group 3990 Old Town Avenue, Suite A-112 San Diego, CA 92110 tamara@austinlegalgroup.com

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing for service through One Legal. It is submitted to One Legal and sent by email to the above email addresses on the same day in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 2, 2018 at San Diego, California.

Douglas

4

## Reply In Support Of Demurrer To Complaint