VERIFIED COMPLAINT

AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC

Plaintiff Austin Legal Group, APC alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff Austin Legal Group, APC ("ALG") is and, at all times relevant was, a professional corporation incorporated under California law, authorized to do business in California, and authorized to do, and does, business in San Diego County, California, as a law firm. ALG attorneys Gina M. Austin and Tamara M. Leetham are licensed in California to practice law, and at all times relevant to this Complaint were licensed to practice law in California and performed a significant amount of legal work for the benefit of defendants.
- 2. Defendant Ninus Malan ("Malan") is an individual residing in San Diego County, California. ALG represents Malan pursuant to a written hourly fee agreement ("Contract") in connection with obtaining San Diego City land use entitlements to include conditional use permits to operate marijuana businesses and representing Malan in four separate lawsuits with third parties (collectively, the "Matters").
- 3. Defendant American Lending and Holdings, LLC ("ALH") is a California limited liability company with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is the sole Manager and, to the knowledge of ALG, the sole member of ALH and requested ALG to do work for ALH under the same terms as the Contract.
- 4. Defendant Balboa Ave Cooperative ("Balboa") is a California cooperative corporation with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is the sole officer and director and acted as the sole owner, and requested ALG to do work for Balboa under the same terms as the Contract.
- 5. Defendant California Cannabis Group ("CCG") is a California corporation with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is the sole officer and director and acted as the sole owner, and requested ALG to do work for CCG under the same terms as the Contract.
- 6. Defendant Flip Management, LLC ("Flip") is a California limited liability company with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is the sole Manager and,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to the knowledge of ALG, the sole member of Flip and requested ALG to do work for Flip under the same terms as the Contract.

- 7. Defendant Monarch Management and Consulting, Inc. ("Monarch") is a California corporation with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is one of two Directors of Monarch and requested ALG to do work for Monarch under the same terms as the Contract.
- 8. Defendant San Diego United Holdings Group LLC ("SDUHG") is a California limited liability company with its principal offices located in San Diego County, Malan is the sole Manager and, to the knowledge of ALG, the sole member of SDUHG and requested ALG to do work for SDUHG under the same terms as the Contract.
- 9. Defendant Mira Este Properties, LLC ("MEP") is a California limited liability company with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is one of two Managers of MEP and owns some percentage of MEP up to 50%. Malan requested ALG to do work for MEP under the same terms as the Contract.
- Defendant Roselle Properties, LLC ("Roselle" and, collectively with ALH, Balboa, CCG, Flip, Monarch, SDUHG, and MEP, the "Malan Entities") is a California limited liability company with its principal offices located in San Diego County. Malan is one of two Managers of Roselle and owns some percentage of Roselle up to 50%. Malan requested ALG to do work for Roselle under the same terms as the Contract.
- 11. Malan, the Malan Entities, and Does 1-10 (collectively "Defendants") owe ALG an outstanding balance pursuant to the Contract; ALG files this Complaint to recover that outstanding balance.
- 12. ALG is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10 inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. ALG reserves the right to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. ALG is informed and believes and alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants whose true names and capacities ALG does not know at this time are legally

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

responsible in some manner for the occurrences and omissions herein alleged, and injuries and damages as herein alleged.

- 13. ALG is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendants, and each of them, are the principal, agent, master, servant, employer, and employee of each of the other, acting within the scope and authority of his/her or its agency or employment with full knowledge and approval of each of their actions as herein alleged.
- ALG believes that the Malan Entities and Malan are and were all relevant times 14. the alter egos of one another. Each defendant is liable for the conduct of the other as alleged in this Complaint, because each of these entities was and is the alter ego that Malan and the Malan Entities used to carry out their respective businesses.
- At all relevant times a unity of interest and ownership existed between Malan 15. and the Malan Entities, such that adherence to the fiction of separate existence would render an injustice under the facts and circumstances of this case:
 - 16. Alter ego liability arises from the following:
- Defendants share a unity of interest in carrying out the business and a. enjoying their respective profits;
- b. Malan disregarded the formal distinctions with the Malan Entities, effective and in practice treating the entire collective as a single entity with a sole purpose;
- Defendants assets were commingled and Defendants, by Malan, were c. involved in that commingling of assets, directing or diverting the income and assets of the other Defendants without regard to corporate form;
- Defendants were commonly controlled and used each other to carry out d. the operations of the Malan Entities and/or related pursuits;
- The Malan Entities were undercapitalized and potentially incapable of e. satisfying their liabilities. On information belief, Defendants now have sufficient assets to cover ALG's fees.
 - f. One or more Defendants shares the same office space;
 - The Malan Entities share executives and directors, or Malan; g.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- h. ALG would suffer injustice if required to adhere to the fiction of separate existences of Defendants. Defendants have engaged in an intentional pattern of conduct to avoid their respective obligations while still operating in effect as the same entity or operation that incurred those obligations;
- i. Because Defendants individually do not possess sufficient assets to satisfy their obligations and given the foregoing allegations, ALG would be prejudiced and unable to recover its damages if it were prohibited from proceeding against all Defendants.
- 17. On or about December 13, 2016, Malan retained ALG to represent him in the Matters.
- In pertinent part, ALG agreed to provide legal services to Malan and the Malan 18. Entities in the Matters on an hourly fee basis.
- 19. Malan agreed, on behalf of himself and the Malan Entities, to pay an hourly fee for services and pay an initial retainer. Malan further agreed to keep ALG informed and to pay ALG's bills for costs on time. Specifically, Malan agreed to pay an hourly rate for attorneys ranging from \$175 to \$300 per hour and a paralegal and law clerk rate ranging from \$75.00 to \$95.00 per hour.
- 20. ALG explained the Contract fully and offered fair and reasonable terms. ALG provided Malan a copy of the written hourly fee agreement and gave him an opportunity to seek independent legal advice. ALG secured the written consent of the Malan Entities, through the Malan's written consent, when Malan signed the written hourly fee agreement on December 13, 2016 and requested ALG to take on additional work on behalf of Malan and the Malan Entities.
- After Malan signed the Contract, ALG, on his behalf and on behalf of the Malan 21. Entities, investigated applicable land use permit requirements, conditional use permits requirements, filed applications for the same at Malan's request, and represented Malan and the Malan Entities in four separate lawsuits. ALG diligently represented Malan and the Malan Entities in the Matters.
- Malan and the Malan Entities currently owe ALG a balance in connection with 22. the Matters as well as late fees for failing to pay amounts due.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23. ALG has attempted to contact Malan to resolve the outstanding balance to no avail. Malan and the Malan Entities currently owe ALG approximately \$172,669 for services provided and \$19,470 for late payment fees accrued pursuant to the Contract.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract- Attorney's Fees/Costs

(Against Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-23 inclusive as 24. if set forth fully herein.
- ALG represented Malan and the Malan Entities on an hourly fee basis pursuant 25. to the Contract and in connection with the Matters.
- Malan and the Malan Entities agreed to pay ALG an hourly fee for ALG's 26. representation in qualifying for, applying for, and obtaining land use permits and conditional use permits from the City of San Diego as well as representation in four separate lawsuits.
- ALG's Contract provided for payment of attorneys' fees and costs on a monthly 27. basis and assessing a late fee equal to one and a half percent (1.5%) of any unpaid balance due if such balance due was not paid within thirty (30) days.
- 28. ALG represented Malan and the Malan Entities through completion of the Matters pursuant to the Contract. ALG has performed all work required by the Contract.
- Malan and the Malan Entities have failed to pay according to the Contract and 29. have failed to communicate with ALG according to the Contract.
- As a direct and proximate result of Malan and the Malan Entities' wrongful 30. conduct, failing to pay legal fees pursuant to the Contract, ALG has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial but a minimum of \$191,527.99.
- ALG is entitled to recover in contract for its fees including any costs advanced 31. on behalf of Malan and the Malan Entities.

26

///

27 ///

28 ///

AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-101 San Diego, CA 92110

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Based upon the foregoing, ALG prays for judgment against Ninus Malan, the Malan Entities, and Does 1-10 as follows:

- 1. For damages pursuant to the Contract;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For such other and further relief as the court may deem to be just and proper;

Dated: May 20, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

AUSTIN DEGAL GROUP, APC

By: Gina M. Austin/Tamara M. Leetham Attorneys for Austin Legal Group

AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-101 San Diego, CA 92110

*9

VERIFICATION

I, Gina Austin, am over the age of 18 and hereby declare:

I am an attorney at plaintiff Austin Legal Group, APC, a California professional corporation. I have read the foregoing Complaint and know its contents. The information in this Complaint is based on my own personal knowledge. The information contain in this Complaint is true except as to matters that are based upon information and belief and as to those matters, I am informed and believe they are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Diego, California this 20th day of May, 2019.

Gina Austin for Plaintiff Austin Legal Group, APC