APP-002

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER: 272958	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: Andrew Flores FIRM NAME: Law Office of Andrew Flores STREET ADDRESS: 427 C Street, Suite 220 CITY: San Diego STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 00009-2101 TELEPHONE NO.: (000) 000-0619 FAX NO.: EMAIL ADDRESS: aflores@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (*name*):		ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 9/10/2025 10:54:28 AM Clerk of the Superior Court By A. Ontiveros ,Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, 92101 BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Amy Sherlock, her children T.S., S.S., and Andrew Flores DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:		
[x] **NOTICE OF APPEAL** [ ] **CROSS-APPEAL** **(UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE)**		CASE NUMBER: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

Notice: Please read *Information on Appeal Procedures for Unlimited Civil Cases* (Judicial Council form APP-001-INFO) before completing this form. This form must be filed in the superior court, not in the Court of Appeal. A copy of this form must also be served on the other party or parties to this appeal. You may use an applicable Judicial Council form (such as APP-009 or APP-009E) for the proof of service. When this document has been completed and a copy served, the original may then be filed with the court with proof of service.

1. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that:
   a. *(Name)*: Amy Sherlock, T.S., S.S., Andrew Fl. appeals from a judgment or order in this case.
   b. The judgment or order was entered on *(list the date or dates the judgment and each order being appealed were entered)*: July 18, 2025
   c. The appeal is from the following order or judgment *(check all that apply)*:
      - [ ] Judgment after jury trial
      - [ ] Judgment after court trial
      - [ ] Default judgment
      - [ ] Judgment after an order granting a summary judgment motion
      - [x] Judgment of dismissal under Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 581d, 583.250, 583.360, or 583.430
      - [ ] Judgment of dismissal after an order sustaining a demurrer
      - [x] An order after judgment under Code of Civil Procedure, § 904.1(a)(2)
      - [x] An order or judgment under Code of Civil Procedure, § 904.1(a)(3)–(13)
      - [ ] Other *(describe and specify the code section or other authority that authorizes this appeal)*:
   d. [ ] The judgment or order being appealed directs payment of sanctions by an attorney for a party. The attorney *(name)*: appeals.
2. For cross-appeals only:
   a. Date notice of appeal was filed in original appeal:
   b. Date superior court clerk mailed notice of original appeal:
   c. Court of Appeal case number *(if known)*:
3. [x] The judgment or order being appealed is attached *(optional)*.

Date: 9/9/2025

Andrew Flores
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

▶ _____
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
APP-002 [Rev. January 1, 2024]

NOTICE OF APPEAL/CROSS-APPEAL—UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE
(Appellate)

Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.100
www.courts.ca.gov

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
CENTRAL

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 07/18/2025 TIME: 9:00 AM DEPT: C-75

JUDICIAL OFFICER: JAMES MANGIONE
CLERK: Natalie Calantoc
REPORTER/ERM: Not Reported
BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: J. Lemke

CASE NO: **37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL** CASE INIT.DATE: 12/03/2021
CASE TITLE: **Sherlock vs Austin [EFILE]**
CASE CATEGORY: Civil CASE TYPE: (U)Antitrust/Trade Regulation

---

HEARING TYPE: Motion Hearing
MOVING PARTY:

APPEARANCES

Amy Sherlock, self-represented Plaintiff and Appellant, present via remote audio appearance.

Andrew Flores, attorney for Amy Sherlock, Plaintiff and Appellant, present via remote video appearance.

Andrew Hall, attorney for Abhay Schweitzer, DBA Techne, Defendant and Respondent on Appeal, present via remote video appearance.

The Court hears argument of counsel and confirms the tentative ruling as follows:

Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, T.S. Sherlock, S.S. Sherlock, and Andrew Flores's Motion for Involuntary Dismissal of Parties and Leave to File Second Amended Complaint is granted in part and denied in part.

The instant motion seeks to amend the allegations against the following Defendants who have been served in this case: Bradford Harcourt, Eulenthias Duane Alexander, Stephen Lake, Salam Razuki, Allied Spectrum, Inc. and Prodigious Collectives, LLC. No entry of default has been entered as to any of these Defendants. Therefore, Plaintiffs are obligated to serve the instant motion on these Defendants in accordance with CCP § 1005. As no proof of service was filed, the Court denies Plaintiffs request to file an amended complaint without prejudice.

However, Plaintiffs may, at any time, chose to dismiss claims against defendants and/or withdraw from the lawsuit. Therefore, the Court grants Plaintiffs' request to dismiss Aaron Magagna, Bartell

& Kwiatkowski, Logan Stellmacher, Shawn Miller, Natalie Trang Nguyen, James Bartell and Finch Thorton and Barid without prejudice. In light of the existing judgments, Rebecca Berry, Larry Geraci, Austin Legal Group, Gina Austin, Jessica McElfresh and Abhay Schweitzer are dismissed with prejudice, and the dismissal shall not impact the judgments. Additionally, the Court grants Plaintiff Andrew Flores's request to be dismissed as a plaintiff in this case.

Plaintiffs are directed to serve notice of the Court's decision on all parties who have been served in this case within fourteen (14) days.

The minute order is the order of the Court.

James Mangione

Judge James Mangione