1	ANDREW FLORES (State Bar Number 272958)	
2	Law Office of Andrew Flores	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
	945 4 th Avenue, Suite 412 San Diego, CA 92101	Superior Court of California, County of San Diego
3	Telephone: 619.256.1556	10/20/2022 at 12:12:00 PM
4	Facsimile: 619.274.8253	Clerk of the Superior Court
_	Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro	By ⊟izabeth Reyes,Deputy Clerk
5	Plaintiff in Propria Persona	
6	and Attorney for Plaintiffs	
7	Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S.	
O		
8	SUPRIOR COURT (OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEG	O, HALL OF JUSTICE
10		
11	AMY SHERLOCK, an individual, et. al.	Case No.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL
12) PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT OF
13		OPPOSTION TO HEARING ON
1.4		DEFENDANTS LARRY GERACI AND
14	VS.) REBBECA BERRY'S (1) DEMURRER
15		TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, (2) MOTION TO STRIKE FIRST
16		AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT
		TO CIVIL PROCEDURE § 425.16, AND
17	GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; et. al.) (3) MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED
18		COMPLAINT
19	Defendants.)
20) DATE 0 4 1 2022
20) DATE: October 21, 2022 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
21		DEPT. C-75
22		JUDGE: The Hon. James A. Mangione
23		Complaint filed: December 3, 2021
24		,
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiffs' hereby request the Court stay or postpone the hearing on the above captioned motions. On August 12, 2022, this Court granted defendants Gina Austin (Austin) and the Austin Legal Group's (ALG) motion to strike Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint pursuant to § 425.16 (the "anti-SLAPP motion"). On September 1, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from this Court's ruling granting the anti-SLAPP motion. (Attached hereto as Exhibit 1.) On September 22, 2022, Plaintiffs designated the record for the appeal of the granting of the anti-SLAPP motion. (Attached hereto as Exhibit 2.) On September 28, 2022, defendant Austin and ALG filed their designation of record for the anti-SLAPP motion. (Attached hereto as Exhibit 3.)

"[T]he perfecting of an appeal stays proceedings in the trial court upon the judgment or order appealed from or upon the matters embraced therein or affected thereby" (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code Sec. 916.) "[T]he Supreme Court determined that an appeal from the denial of an anti-SLAPP motion *automatically* stays, under section 916, all further trial court proceedings on the merits." (*Young v. Tri-CHy Healthcare Disi.* (2012) 210 Cal.App.4th 35, 49, 148 Cal. Rptr. 3d 119, citing *Variait Med Sys. Inc. v. Delft no* (2005) 35 Cal.4lh 180, 188 (emphasis added).)

The pending motions on the merits are necessarily "embraced" or "affected" by the pending appeal of the anti-SLAPP motion. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court not rule on the merits of the pending motions and stay the action pending resolution of the pending appeal. Alternatively, if counsel for Plaintiffs has misunderstood the law cited above, Plaintiffs request that in the interest of justice that the Court grant a continuance so that Plaintiffs may file oppositions to the pending motions. (*See Slusher v. Durrer* (1977) 69 Cal.App.3d 747, 753 (stating "[t]he policy of the law favors, wherever possible, a hearing on the merits...").)

Dated: October 20, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICE OF ANDREW FLORES

By: /s/ Andrew Flores
Plaintiff In Propria Persona, and
Attorney for Plaintiffs
AMY SHERLOCK, and Minors
T.S. and S.S.

	APP-002
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY STATE BAR NO. 27295	68 FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME Andrew Flores	
FIRM NAME: Law Office of Andrew Flores	
STREET ADDRESS: 427 C Street, Suite 220	CODE 92101
	CODE 92101
	SEP - 1 2022
E-MAIL ADDRESS. andrew@floreslegal.pro ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Amy Sheriock, minors T.S. and S.S., and Andrew F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	Flores (pro se) By: A. Santiago, Deputy
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway	"-90, Deputy
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway	•
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego 92101	
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Amy Sherlock, minors T.S. and S.S., and	1 Andrew Flores
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Gina M. Austin and Austin Legal Group	
X NOTICE OF APPEAL CROSS-APP	
(UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE)	37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL
applicable Judicial Council form (such as APP-009 or AF has been completed and a copy served, the original may	then be filed with the court with proof of service.
1. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that (name): Amy Sherlock, minors	s T.S. and S.S., and Andrew Flores
appeals from the following judgment or order in this case, which v	
Judgment after jury trial	· ·
Judgment after court trial	
Default judgment	
	
Judgment after an order granting a summary judgment moti	
Judgment of dismissal under Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 58	81d, 583.250, 583.360, or 583.430
Judgment of dismissal after an order sustaining a demurrer	
An order after judgment under Code of Civil Procedure, § 90	04.1(a)(2)
X An order or judgment under Code of Civil Procedure, § 904.	.1(a)(3) - (13)
Other (describe and specify code section that authorizes the	
2. For cross-appeals only:	
a. Date notice of appeal was filed in original appeal:	
b. Date superior court clerk mailed notice of original appeal:	
c. Court of Appeal case number (if known):	,
. ,	
Dale: 8/16/2022	MA
Andrew Flores	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

Cal Rules of Court, rule 8 100 www.courts.cs.gov

ANDREW FLORES, ESQ (SBN:272958) LAW OFFICE OF ANDREW FLORES 427 C Street, Suite 220 San Diego CA, 92101 P:619.356.1556 F:619.274.8053 Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro 5 Plaintiff in Propria Persona and Attorney for Plaintiffs 6 Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S. 7 and S.S. 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DIVISION 11 AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S., ANDREW 12 FLORES, an individual: 13 Plaintiffs. 14 ٧. 15 GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN 16 LEGALGROUP, a professional corporation. LARRY GERACI, an individual, REBECCA 17 BERRY, an individual; JESSICA MCELFRESH, an 18 individual; SALAM RAZUKI, an individual;

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SEP -1 2022

By: A. Santiago, Deputy

Case No. 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

PROOF OF SERVICE RE: NOTICE OF APPEAL OF RULING 8/12/2022

NINUS MALAN, an individual; FINCH, THORTON, AND BARID, a limited liability partnership; ABHAY SCHWEITZER, an individual and dba TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM) BARTELL. an individual; NATALIE TRANG-MY NGUYEN, an individual, AARON MAGAGNA, an individual; BRADFORD HARCOURT, an individual; SHAWN MILLER, an individual; LOGAN STELLMACHER, an individual: EULENTHIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an individual; STEPHEN LAKE, an individual, ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC., a California corporation, PRODIGIOUS COLLECTIVES, LLC, a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.

FILED

SEP -1 2022

<u>PROOF OF SERVICE</u>

By: A. Santiago, Deputy

I, the undersigned, declare that:

I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18) years. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California and my business address is 427 C Street, Suite 220, San Diego CA 92101

1. NOTICE OF APPEAL OF RULING ON 8/12/22

[] MAIL – by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail at San Diego, California.

[] PERSONAL DELIVERY – by personally delivery the documents listed above to the person(s) at the address set forth below.

[x] BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.251): Based on an agreement between the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused such document(s) to be electronically served to those parties listed below from e-mail address andrew@floreslegal.pro. The file transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the Service Receipt will be maintained with the original document(s) in our office.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

/// ///

James D. Crosby, Esq. Attorney at Law

ī	550 West C Street, Suite 620 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 450-4149
3	Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY
4	Scott H. Toothacre, Esq. Michael R. Weinstein, Esq.
5	FERRIS & BRITTON 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 San Diego, CA 92101
6	Tel: (619) 233-3131 Email: stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com
7	dbarker@ferrisbritton.com mweirstein@ferrisbritton.com
8	Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY
9	Sterror W. Dl.) . F
10	Steven W. Blake, Esq. Andrew E. Hall, Esq. BLAKE LAW FIRM
11	533 2nd Street, Suite 250 Encinitas, CA 92024
12	Tel: (858) 232-1290 Email: steve@blakelawca.com
13	andrew@blakelawca.com eservice@blakelawca.com
14	Attorneys for Defendant STEPHEN LAKE
15	Natalie T. Nguyen, Esq. NGUYEN LAW CORPORATION 2260 Avenida de la Playa
16	La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: (858) 757-8577
17	Email: natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com Defendant NATALIE TRANG-MY NGUYEN PRO SE
18	
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 23, 2022, at San Diego, California.
20	
21	ANDREW FLORES
22	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF'S
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2

proceedings in determining whether an error was made in the superior court proceedings.

APP-003

0	ase I	Name:	Sherlo		Superior Court Case Number: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL
<u> </u>	b.	[X:]	WITH	the following record of the oral proceedings in the superior court:	
	7.	(1)		A reporter's transcript under rule 8.130. (You must fill out the reporter's form.) I have (check all that apply):	transcript section on page 3 of this
	oceedings with this notice as provided in				
			(b)	Attached a copy of a Transcript Reimbursement Fund application	ı filed under rüle 8:130(c)(1).
			(c)	X Attached the reporter's written waiver of a deposit for (check eith	er (i) or (ii)):
				(i) x all of the designated proceedings.	
				(ii) part of the designated proceedings.	
			(d)	Attached a certified transcript under rule 8.130(b)(3).	
		(2)	(0)	An agreed statement. (Check and complete either (a) or (b) below.)	
		(2)	الل	I have attached an agreed statement to this notice.	
			(a) (b)	All the parties have agreed in writing (stipulated) to try to agree of this stipulation to this notice.) I understand that, within 40 days a either the agreed statement or a notice indicating the parties were notice designating the record on appeal.	fter I file the notice of appeal, I must file
		(3)		A settled statement under rule 8.137. (You must attach the motion requ	ired under rule 8,137(a) to this form.)
3.	ŔF	COR	D OF	AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING TO BE TRANSMITTED	TO THE REVIEWING COURT
			oceedir		
		L		Title of Administrative Proceeding	Date or Dates
4.	NC	TICE	DESI	GNATING CLERK'S TRANSCRIPT	
	(Yo	ou mu: docu	st comp ments i	plete this section if you checked item 1a. above indicating that you elect filed in the superior court.)	to use a clerk's transcript as the record of
	a.	Requi	ilred de each de	ocuments: The clerk will automatically include the following items in the ocument was filed or, if that is not available, the date the document was	clerk's transcript, but you must provide the signed.
				Document Title and Description	Date of Filing
		(1)	Notice	of appeal	9/1/22
		(2)	Notice	designating record on appeal (this document)	9/16/2022
		(3)	Judgn	nent or order appealed from	9/9/2022
		(4)	Notice	of entry of judgment (if any)	
		(5) `		of intention to move for new trial or motion to vacate the judgment, for just and intention of an appealed order (if any	
		181	Dulle	A control of the cont	
		(6)	raung	on one or more of the Items listed in (5).	

C	ase N	Vame:	Sherlock v. Austin	Superior Court Car 37-2021-000508				
4.	NC	TICE	DESIGNATING CLERK'S TRANSCRIPT		·			
	b.	Addi:	ional documents. (If you want any documents from the superior court proceeding in addition to the items listed in a. to be included in the clerk's trenscript, you must identify those documents here.)					
		×	I request that the clerk include the following documents from the superior could identify each document you went included by its title and provide the date it with document was signed)	nt proceeding in the as filed or, if that	ne transcript. (You must is not available, the date			
			Document Title and Description		Date of Filing			
		(8)	Notice of Ruling (ROA 98)	8/12/	2022			
		(9)	Minute Order (ROA 94)	8/12/	2022			
		(10)	Tentative Ruling (ROA 91)	8/1 t/	2022			
		(11)	Motion to Strike (ROA 45)	6/16/	22			
		(12)	Opposition to Motion to Strike (ROA 72)	7/25/	22			
			See additional pages.					
	C.	Exhit	oits to be included in clark's transcript.					
			I request that the clerk include in the transcript the following exhibits that were in the superior court (for each exhibit, give the exhibit number, such as Ptainti description of the exhibit. Indicate whether or not the court admitted the exhibit.	ff's #1 or Defenda	ence, refused, or lodged int's A, and a brief			
			Exhibit Number Description		Admitted (Yes/No)			
		(1)						
		(2)						
		(3)						
		(4)						
		(5)						
			See àdditional pages.					
5.	(Yo	u mus	DESIGNATING REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT I complete this section if you checked item 2b(1) above indicating thet you ele I proceedings in the superior court. Please remember that you must pay for the	ct to use a report a cost of preparin	er's trenscript as the record g the reporter's trenscript.)			
	a.	i requ	est that the reporters provide (check one):					
		(1)	My copy of the reporter's transcript in paper format.					
		(2)	My copy of the reporter's transcript in computer-readable format.					
		(3)	X My copy of the reporter's transcript in paper format and a second copy in	n computer-read	able format.			
		(Coda	Civ. Proc., § 271; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.130(f)(4).)					

									APP-00
Case N	lame: Sherlo	ck v. Austin			-		enor Court Case Num 2021-00050889-CU		
- b	Proceeding	<u> </u>	 		*				
-	request the	t the following pyou want includ	ed by its data, lotions before	the departme trial, the takin	count be included in ant in which it took p ag of testimony, or to certified transcript	olace, a descr he giving of ju of the designa	option of the proceetry instructions—the ted proceeding was	name of t s previous!	example, he court y
	Date	Departmen	t Full/Partiai	Day	Description		porter's Name		epared?
	(1)8/12/22	61	Partial		pt of Hearing on Ar	nti- Darla K	mety CSR12956	X Yes	☐ No
	(2)			Slapp				Yes	No
	(3)							☐ Yes	□ No
	(4)							Yês,	□ No
	(5)						•	Yes.	□ No
	(6)							☐ Yes	∏ No
	(7)							Yes	□ No
	8.130(a)(2)	provides that ŷ	our appeal will	be limited to	nė lestimony, statė these points unless	s, an mation, t	he reviewing court	pėmits óth	erwise).
							-		
Date:	9/16/2022								·
	Andrew Flo	OTCS (TYPE OR PRINT	NAME)			(SIGNATURE	OF APPELLANT OR ATTO	RNEY)	



		Al I - VI
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: Douglas A. Pettit, Esq., SBN 160371		
FIRM NAME: PETTIT KOHN INGRAS		ELECTRONICALLY FILED
STREET ADDRESS: 11622 El Camino Re	al, Suite 300	Superior Court of California,
сіту: San Diego	STATE: CA zip code: 92130	County of San Diego
TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 755-8500		09/28/2022 at 05:17:00 PM
E-MAIL ADDRESS: dpettit@pettitkohn.com	m; ksealey@pettitkohn.com	Clerk of the Superior Court
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Defendants GINA M. A	USTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP	By Annie Yim, Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUN	ITY OF SAN DIEGO	
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway		
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE:		
BRANCH NAME: San Diego, 92101		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Amy Sher		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Gina M. A	ustin, et al.	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:		
RESPONDENT'S NOTICE DE	SIGNATING RECORD ON APPEAL	SUPERIOR COURT CASE NUMBER:
(UNLIMITI	ED CIVIL CASE)	37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL
	2022	COURT OF APPEAL CASE NUMBER (if known):
Re: Appeal filed on (date): September 1,	2022	
Notice: Please read Information of	n Appeal Procedures for Unlimited Civil	Cases (form APP-001-INFO) before

1. RECORD OF THE DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

The appellant has chosen to use a clerk's transcript under rule 8.122.

a. X Additional documents. (If you want any documents from the superior court proceedings in addition to the documents designated by the appellant to be included in the clerk's transcript, you must identify those documents here.)

completing this form. This form must be filed in the superior court, not in the Court of Appeal.

In addition to the documents designated by the appellant, I request that the clerk include in the transcript the following documents from the superior court proceedings. (You must identify each document you want included by its title and provide the date it was filed or, if that is not available, the date the document was signed.)

	Document Title and Description	Date of Filing
(1)	ROA # 119 Notice of Entry of Jugment	09/21/2022
(2)	ROA # 74 Defendants Gina M. Austin and Austin Legal Group's	07/29/2022
(3)	Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
()		
(7)		
	See additional pages. (Check here if you need more space to list additional docum separate page or pages labeled "Attachment 1(a)," and start with number (8).)	nents. List these documents on a

Page 1 of 3

CASE NAME:	SUPERIOR COURT CASE NUMBER:		
Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al.	37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL		
1. b. Additional exhibits. (If you want any exhibits from the superior court proceeding appellant to be included in the clerk's transcript, you must identify those exhibits. In addition to the exhibits designated by the appellant, I request that the clerk in that were admitted in evidence, refused, or lodged in the superior court. (For ease Plaintiff's #1 or Defendant's A, and a brief description of the exhibit. Indicate exhibit into evidence. If the superior court has returned a designated exhibit to a exhibit must deliver it to the superior court clerk within 10 days after service of the 8.122(a)(3).))	clude in the transcript the following exhibits ch exhibit, give the exhibit number, such whether or not the court admitted the a party, the party in possession of the		
Exhibit Number Description	Admitted (Yes/No)		
(1)			
(2)			
(3)			
(4)			
c. X Copy of clerk's transcript. I request a copy of the clerk's transcript. (Check (1)) (1) X I will pay the superior court clerk for this transcript when I receive the clerk' I understand that if I do not pay for this transcript, I will not receive a copy. (2) I request that the clerk's transcript be provided to me at no cost because I consumitted the following document with this notice designating the record (consumed) (a) An order granting a waiver of court fees and costs under rules 3.50–3. (form FW-001) to prepare and file this application.)	or (2).) Is estimate of the costs of this transcript. cannot afford to pay this cost. I have theck (a) or (b)): 58; or		
 RECORD OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT The appellant has chosen to use a reporter's transcript under rule 8.130. 			
a. Designation of additional proceedings. (If you want any oral proceedings in a the appellant to be included in the reporter's transcript, you must identify those			
(1) In addition to the proceedings designated by the appellant, I request that the follower included in the reporter's transcript. (You must identify each proceeding you win which it took place, a description of the proceedings (for example, the examinataking of testimony, or the giving of jury instructions), the name of the court reporknown), and whether a certified transcript of the designated proceeding was prevented.	vant included by its date, the department ation of jurors, motions before trial, the ter who recorded the proceedings (if		

CASE NAME:		SUPERIOR COURT CASE NUMBER:
	Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al.	37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

2. a. ((1)	(continued)
---------	-----	-------------

	Г	Date	Department Full/Partial Day	Description	Reporter's Name	Prev. prepared?
	(a)					Yes No
	(b)					☐ Yes ☐ No
	(c)					☐ Yes ☐ No
	(d)					Yes No
	(e)					Yes No
	(f)					Yes No
	(g)					Yes No
		separa	ditional pages. (Check here if you need te page or pages labeled "Attachment			proceedings on a
	` '	-	for additional proceedings.			
		,	heck a, b, c, or d):			
		(a)	Deposited with the superior court cle the deposit with this notice as provid		reparing the additional prod	ceedings by including
		(b)	Attached a copy of a Transcript Rein	nbursement Fund application	filed under rule 8.130(c)(1).
		(c)	Attached the reporter's written waive	er of a deposit under rule 8.13	30(b)(3)(A) for (check eithe	r (i) or (ii)):
		(i)	All of the designated proceeding	gs.		
		(ii)	Part of the designated proceedi	ngs.		
		(d) X	Attached a certified transcript under	rule 8.130(b)(3)(C).		
b.	Сор	y of rep	orter's transcript.			
	(1) [X Ir	equest a copy of the reporter's transcri	pt.		
	(2) [X Ire	equest that the reporters provide (chec	ck (a), (b), or (c)) :		
		(a) X	My copy of the reporter's transcript i	n electronic format.		
		(b)	My copy of the reporter's transcript i	n paper format.		
		(c)	My copy of the reporter's transcript i format.	n electronic format and a sec	cond copy of the reporter's	transcript in paper
		(Code C	iv. Proc., § 271.)			
Date:	Sept	ember i	28, 2022			
			a R. Sealey	<u> </u>	(SIGNATURE OF RESPONDENT)	P ATTORNEY)

1 PROOF OF SERVICE Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al. 2 San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2011-00051643-CU-PO-NC 3 I, the undersigned, declare that: 4 I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, 5 and my business address is 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92130. On **September 28, 2022,** I caused to be served the following documents: 6 7 RESPONDENT'S NOTICE DESIGNATING RECORD ON APPEAL 8 **BY MAIL:** By placing a copy thereof for delivery in a separate envelope addressed to each addressee, respectively, as follows: 9 BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(a)-(b)) BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(c)-(d)) BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED (Code Civ. 10 Proc. §§ 1013(a)-(b)) 11 [X] BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court, 12 rule 2.251): Based on an agreement between the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission. I caused such document(s) to be electronically served to those 13 parties listed below from e-mail address dbarton@pettitkohn.com. The file transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the Service Receipt will be maintained with the 14 original document(s) in our office. 15 Andrew Flores, Esq. James D. Crosby, Esq. Law Office of Andrew Flores Attorney at Law 16 427 C Street, Suite 220 550 West C Street, Suite 620 San Diego, CA 92101 San Diego, CA 92101 17 Tel: (619) 356-1556 Tel: (619) 450-4149 Email: crosby@crosbyattornev.com Fax: (619) 274-8053 18 Email: Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro **Attorney for Defendants** LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY Plaintiff in *Propria Persona* 19 and Attorney for Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S. 20 and S.S. 21 Scott H. Toothacre, Esq. Steven W. Blake, Esq. Andrew E. Hall, Esq. Michael R. Weinstein, Esq. 22 **FERRIS & BRITTON** BLAKE LAW FIRM 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 533 2nd Street, Suite 250 23 San Diego, CA 92101 Encinitas, CA 92024 Tel: (619) 233-3131 Tel: (858) 232-1290 24 Email: stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com Email: steve@blakelawca.com mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com andrew@blakelawca.com 25 dbarker@ferrisbritton.com eservice@blakelawca.com Attorney for Defendants **Attorney for Defendant** 26 LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY STEPHEN LAKE 27 28 176-1201

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 2 3 4 5	Natalie T. Nguyen, Esq. NGUYEN LAW CORPORATION 2260 Avenida de la Playa La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: (858) 757-8577 Email: natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com Defendant NATALIE TRANG-MY NGUYEN PRO SE
6 7 8	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 28, 2022, at San Diego, California.
10	O 1 1 V Batas
11	Deborah L. Barton
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
176-1201	2
	PROOF OF SERVICE