1		ELI	ECTRONICALLY RECEIVED	
2			Superior Court of California, County of San Diego	
			11/23/2022 at 05:07:44 PM	
3		В	Clerk of the Superior Court y Regina Chanez,Deputy Clerk	
4				
5				
6				
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
8	COUNTY C	COUNTY OF ORANGE		
9	AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on	Case No. 37-202	21-0050889-CU-AT-CTL	
10	behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S., ANDREW FLORES, an individual;			
11			ORDER GRANTING COMPEL RESPONSES TO	
12	Plaintiffs,	REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS	OR PRODUCTION OF	
	Vs.	INTERROGAT	TORIES, AND FOR	
13	CDA M ANGERY . 1. 1. 1 ANGERY	MONETARY S PLAINTIFF	SANCTIONS AGAINST	
14	GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN LEGALGROUP, a professional corporation,	PLAINTIFF		
15	LARRY GERACI, an individual, REBECCA	Heaving Date:	February 17, 2023	
	BERRY, an individual; JESSICA	Hearing Date.		
16	MCELFRESH, an individual; SALAM RAZUKI, an individual; NINUS MALAN, an	_		
17	individual; FINCH, THORTON, AND	Case Filed:	December 3, 2021	
18	BARID, a limited liability partnership;	Department: Judge:	C-75 Hon. James Mangione	
	ABHAY SCHWEITZER, an individual and dba TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM)	Trial Date:	N/A	
19	BARTELL, an individual; NATALIE			
20	TRANG-MY NGUYEN, an individual,			
21	AARON MAGAGNA, an individual; BRADFORD HARCOURT, an individual;			
	SHAWN MILLER, an individual; LOGAN			
22	STELLMACHER, an individual;			
23	EULENTHIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an individual,			
24	ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC., a California			
25	corporation, PRODIGIOUS COLLECTIVES,			
	LLC, a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,			
26				
27	Defendants.			
28				

BLAKE LAW FIRM 533 2ND ST., STE.250 ENCINITAS, CA 92024 TEL. 858-232-1290

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 17, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., Defendant STEPHEN LAKE ("Defendant" or "LAKE") moved this Court for an order requiring Plaintiff AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on behalf of her minor children T.S. and S.S. ("Plaintiff" or "SHERLOCK") to respond to LAKE's Requests for Production of Documents, Set One ("RFD") and Form Interrogatories, Set One ("FI"). Further, LAKE moved an award of monetary sanctions against SHERLOCK and her counsel of record in the amount of \$2,820. The Court, having considered the application and evidence submitted by LAKE, the opposition of SHERLOCK, the reply by LAKE, the argument of the parties, review of the record, and with good cause showing, hereby orders as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT

- 1. LAKE's Motion is hereby GRANTED.
- 2. SHERLOCK is ordered to provide responses to the Requests for Documents, Set One propounded by LAKE on July 26, 2022 and any responsive documents no later than
- 3. SHERLOCK is ordered to provide responses to the Form Interrogatories, Set One propounded by LAKE on July 26, 2022 no later than
- 4. Sanctions are awarded against SHERLOCK and/or her counsel of record in the amount of \$_____ and are to be paid to Plaintiff, through its attorney of record, no later than , 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 17, 2023

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO