1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE RESTIS LAW FIRM, P.C. William R. Restis, Esq. (SBN 246823) 550 West C Street, Suite 1760 San Diego, California 92101 +1.619.270.8383 +1.619.752.1552 william@restislaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 04/27/2018 at 12:24:00 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By E- Filing,Deputy Clerk
8 9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT FOR T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY O	F SAN DIEGO
12	KARL BECK , individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated California residents,	Case No: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
14	V.	DECLARATION OF WILLIAM R. RESTIS
15	POINT LOMA PATIENTS CONSUMER COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, A California	IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S <u>REPLY</u> TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE
16	Corporation, ADAM KNOPF , an Individual, JUSTUS H. HENKES IV , an	PLEADINGS TO DEFENDANTS' JOINT
17	Individual, 419 CONSULTING INC., a	
18	STATE GREENS LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST MANAGEMENT, LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST OPERATING, LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST STAFFING, LLC, a California LLC, and DOES 1-50,	Data: May 4, 2019
19	LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST OPERATING, LLC, a California LLC,	Date: May 4, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m.
20	FAR WEST STAFFING, LLC, a California LLC, and DOES 1-50,	Judge: Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil Ctrm: C-73
21	Defendants.	
22 23	Derendants.	
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	RESTIS DECL. ISO REPLY MOT. JUDGMENT PLEADINGS JOIN	T ANSWER CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

I, William R. Restis, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am the managing member of The Restis Law Firm, P.C. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, based on my active participation in all material aspects of this litigation. If called upon, I could and would testify competently to the facts herein based upon my personal involvement in this case. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff Karl Beck's ("Plaintiff") <u>Reply</u> to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings to Defendants' Joint Answer.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Defendant Point Loma Patients Consumer Cooperative Corporation's ("PLPCC") objections and responses to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory (Set One). All Defendants' responses to Form Interrogatory 15.1 are verbatim to the PLPCC's in Exhibit A.

3. Since Plaintiff filed this action on October 6, 2017, none of the Defendants, separately or together, have propounded even a single item of discovery.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the forgoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed on April 27, 2018 at San Diego, California.

/s/ William R. Restis William R. Restis, Esq.

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Gina M. Austin (SBN 246833) E-mail: gaustin@austinlegalgroup.com Tamara M. Leetham (SBN 234419) E-mail: tamara@austinlegalgroup.com AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Ste A-112 San Diego, CA 92110 Phone: (619) 924-9600 Facsimile: (619) 881-0045 Attorneys for Defendants Point Loma Patients Consumer Cooperative, Golden State Greens, LLC, Far West Manageme Far West Operating, LLC, and Far West Staffing MATTHEW B. DART (Bar No. 216429) DART LAW 12526 High Bluff Dr., Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 858.792.3616 Fax: 858.408.2900 	
12	Attorneys for Defendants 419 Consulting, Adam Knopf, and Justus Henkes IV	
13		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
15		
16	KARL BECK, individually and on behalf	CASE NO. 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL
17	of all other similarly situated California residents,	DEFENDANT POINT LOMA PATIENT
18	Plaintiff,	CONSUMER COOPERATIVE'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FORM
19	vs.	INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)
20	POINT LOMA PATIENTS CONSUMER	Judge: Hon. Joel Wohlfeil Dept.: 73
21	COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, a California corporation, ADAM KNOPF, an	Complaint Filed: October 6, 2017
22	individual, JUSTUS H. HENKES IV, an individual, 419 CONSULTING INC, a	Trial Date: Not Set
23	California corporation, GOLDEN STATE	
24	GREENS LLĈ, a California LLC, FAR WEST MANAGEMENT LLC, a	
25	California LLC, FAR WEST OPERATING, LLC, a California LLC,	
26	FAR WEST STAFFING LLC, a California LLC, and DOES 1-50;	
27	Defendants.	
28		1
	RESPONSES TO FORM	INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)

Defendant Point Loma Patient Consumer Cooperative ("Defendant") responds to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories, Set One:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendant has not completed investigation of this case, has not completed discovery, and
has not completed preparation for trial. All of the responses contained herein are based only on
such information that is presently available to and specifically known to Defendant. It is
anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and analysis will
supply additional facts, add additional meaning to the known facts, as well as establish entirely
new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to,
changes in, and variations from the responses herein set forth.

Defendant's responses contained herein are made in a good faith effort to supply as much
factual information as is presently known, but should in no way lead to the prejudice of
Defendant in relation to further discovery, research or analysis. The following responses are
given without prejudice to Defendant's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovered
fact or facts that it may later develop.

Defendant incorporates the following General Objections into each of its responses to
 these Interrogatories. Each specific response is limited by these General Objections.

Defendant objects to each interrogatory to the extent each interrogatory is
 unlimited or ambiguous as to the timeframe intended to be covered, and to the extent that the
 timeframe is not relevant to the issues in this action and is thus overly broad and unduly
 burdensome.

22 2. Defendant objects to each interrogatory to the extent each interrogatory calls for
 23 production of documents or information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client
 24 privilege, the work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege or protective doctrine.

25 3. Defendant objects to each interrogatory to the extent each interrogatory seeks
26 information that is readily available from public sources.

27 4. Defendant objects to each interrogatory to the extent that each interrogatory seeks
28 information not within the possession, custody or control of Defendant or purports to impose

1	upon Defendant a duty to produce information from individuals or entities that are not under	
2	Defendant's custody or control.	
3	5. Defendant objects to each interrogatory to the extent that each interrogatory	
4	imposes obligations on Defendant that are different from or beyond that required by the Rules of	
5	Civil Procedure.	
6		
7	RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES	
8	INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:	
9	State the name, ADDRESS, telephone number, and relationship to you of each PERSON	
10	who prepared or assisted in the preparation of the responses to these interrogatories. (Do not	
11	identify anyone who simply typed or reproduced the responses.)	
12	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:	
13	Justus Henkes, IV; Matthew B. Dart; Tamara M. Leetham. Mr. Henkes can be reached	
14	only through counsel.	
15	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.1:	
16	Are you a corporation? If so, state:	
17	(a) the name stated in the current articles of incorporation;	
18	(b) all other names used by the corporation during the past 10 years and the dates each	
19	was used;	
20	(c) the date and place of incorporation;	
21	(d) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business; and	
22	(e) whether you are qualified to do business in California.	
23	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.1:	
24	Yes.	
25	(a) Point Loma Patients Consumer Cooperative Corporation;	
26	(b) n/a	
27	(c) April 24, 2014, California	
28	(d) 3452 Hancock Street, San Diego, CA 92110	
	RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)	

1	(e)	Yes.
2	INTERROG	ATORY NO. 3.2:
3	Are you a partnership? If so, state:	
4	(a)	the current partnership name;
5	(b)	all other names used by the partnership during the past 10 years and the dates each
6	was used;	
7	(c)	whether you are a limited partnership and, if so, under the laws of what
8	jurisdiction;	
9	(d)	the name and ADDRESS of each general partner; and
10	(e)	the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.
11	RESPONSE	TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.2:
12	No.	
13	INTERROG	ATORY NO. 3.3:
14	Are y	ou a limited liability company? If so, state:
15	(a)	the name stated in the current articles of organization;
16	(b)	all other names used by the company during the past 10 years and the date each
17	was used;	
18	(c)	the date and place of filing of the articles of organization;
19	(d)	the ADDRESS of the principal place of business; and
20	(e)	whether you are qualified to do business in California.
21	RESPONSE	TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.3:
22	No.	
23	INTERROG	ATORY NO. 3.4:
24	Are y	ou a joint venture? If so, state:
25	(a)	the current joint venture name;
26	(b)	all other names used by the joint venture during the past 10 years and the dates
27	each was use	d;
28	(c)	the name and ADDRESS of each joint venturer; and $\frac{4}{4}$
		RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)

1	(d) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.	
2	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.4:	
3	No.	
4	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.5:	
5	Are you an unincorporated association? If so, state:	
6	(a) the current unincorporated association name;	
7	(b) all other names used by the unincorporated association during the past 10 years	
8	and the dates each was used; and	
9	(c) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.	
10	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.5:	
11	No.	
12	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.6:	
13	Have you done business under a fictitious name during the past 10 years? If so, for each	
14	fictitious name state:	
15	(a) the name;	
16	(b) the dates each was used;	
17	(c) the state and county of each fictitious name filing; and	
18	(d) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.	
19	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.6:	
20	No.	
21	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.7:	
22	Within the past five years has any public entity registered or licensed your business? If	
23	so, for each license or registration:	
24	(a) identify the license or registration;	
25	(b) state the name of the public entity; and	
26	(c) state the dates of issuance and expiration.	
27	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.7:	
28	Yes. PLPCC is and always has been a properly-licensed medical marijuana dispensary. 5^{5}	
	RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)	
l		

1	The City of San Diego issued PLPCC a Conditional Use Permit, recorded as document number	
2	2015-0157638. The City of San Diego issued PLPCC an Amendment to its Conditional Use	
3	Permit, recorded as document number 2016-0523756.	
4	INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1:	
5	At the time of the INCIDENT , was there in effect any policy of insurance through which	
6	you were or might be insured in any manner (for example, primary, pro-rata, or excess liability	
7	coverage or medical expense coverage) for the damages, claims, or actions that have arisen out of	
8	the INCIDENT ? If so, for each policy state:	
9	(a) the kind of coverage;	
10	(b) the name and ADDRESS of the insurance company;	
11	(c) the name, ADDRESS , and telephone number of each named insured;	
12	(d) the policy number;	
13	(e) the limits of coverage for each type of coverage contained in the policy;	
14	(f) whether any reservation of rights or controversy or coverage dispute exists	
15	between you and the insurance company; and	
16	(g) the name, ADDRESS , and telephone number of the custodian of the policy.	
17	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1:	
18	Objection. The term "INCIDENT" is not defined in the set of interrogatories, rendering	
19	this interrogatory vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving said objection,	
20	Defendant responds as follows: No.	
21	INTERROGATORY NO. 4.2:	
22	Are you self-insured under any statute for the damages, claims, or actions that have arisen	
23	out of the INCIDENT ? If so, specify the statute.	
24	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4.2:	
25	Objection. The term "INCIDENT" is not defined in the set of interrogatories, rendering	
26	this interrogatory vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving said objection,	
27	Defendant responds as follows: No.	
28	6	
	RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)	

1	INTERROG	SATORY NO. 15.1:
2	Identify each denial of a material allegation and each special or affirmative defense in	
3	your pleadings and for each:	
4	(a)	state all facts upon which you base your denial or special or affirmative defense;
5	(b)	State the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who
6		have knowledge of those facts; and
7	(c)	Identify all DOCUMENTS and other tangible things that support your denial or
8		special or affirmative defenses, and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone
9		number of the PERSON who has each DOCUMENT .
10	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15.1:	
11	Objec	ction. This request is unduly burdensome and oppressive. This request is also
12	premature as discovery has only begun. This request is also vague and ambiguous and impossible	
13	to answer given the state of the pleadings. Plaintiff has filed a motion for judgment on the	
14	pleadings as to Defendants' Answer. Defendants' Answer, and the denials of material	
15	allegations, and special and affirmative defenses therein, may change by stipulation or by order of	
16	the Court. After that time, Defendants will supplement this response.	
17		
18		
19	Dated: Apr	il 4, 2018 DART LAW
20		
21	Allo	
22	By MATTHEW B. DART	
23		Attorneys for Defendants
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		7
		RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES (SET ONE)