1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE RESTIS LAW FIRM, P.C. William R. Restis, Esq. (SBN 246823) 550 West C Street, Suite 1760 San Diego, California 92101 +1.619.270.8383 +1.619.752.1552 william@restislaw.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> [Additional Counsel Listed On Signature Page]	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 05/21/2018 at 07:01:00 AM Clerk of the Superior Court By Vanessa Bahena,Deputy Clerk
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
11	KARL BECK, individually and on behalf of all	Case No: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL
12	other similarly situated California residents,	
13	Plaintiff, v.	CLASS ACTION
14	POINT LOMA PATIENTS CONSUMER	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL
15 16	COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, A California Corporation, ADAM KNOPF, an	OF PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION (SET TWO)
17	Individual, JUSTUS H. HENKES IV, an Individual, 419 CONSULTING INC., a	RE: DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION
18	California Corporation, GOLDEN STATE GREENS LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST MANAGEMENT, LLC, a California LLC, FAR	FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER (RoA # 96)
19	WEST OPERATING, LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST STAFFING, LLC, a California	Date: May 24, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m.
20	LLC, and DOES 1-50 ,	Judge: Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil Ctrm: C-73
21	Defendants.	Cum. C-75
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	NOT. MOT. AND MOT. TO COMPEL RFP	CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

I	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to the Court's directives at the May 18, 2018	
hearing concerning the potential overbreadth of Plaintiff's Requests for Production (Set One),	
Plaintiff has withdrawn without prejudice Plaintiff's Request for Production (Set Two) to all	
Defendants. See Exhibit A hereto (Restis letter withdrawing); Opposition to Motion for Protective	
Order, RoA # 148, at pp 3-4 (describing Set Two).	
Respectfully submitted,	
P.C.	
60	
om	
SK LLP	
9234)	
7(0	
760	
3	
5	
DECLARATION OF WILLIAM R. RESTIS	
1. I, William R. Restis, hereby declare as follows: 1. I am the managing member of The	
Restis Law Firm, P.C. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, based on my active	
participation in all material aspects of this litigation. If called upon, I could and would testify	
competently to the facts herein based upon my personal involvement in this case.	
4-CU-BT-CTL	

1	2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of my May 20, 2018 letter to	
2	Tamara Leetham and Matthew Dart, counsel for Defendants herein, withdrawing without prejudice	
3	Plaintiff's Requests for Production (Set Two) to all Defendants.	
4	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the forgoing	
5	is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.	
6	Executed on May 21, 2018 at San Diego, California.	
7		
8	/s/ William R. Restis William R. Restis, Esq.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 2 -	
	NOT. MOT. AND MOT. TO COMPEL RFP (SET ONE)CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL	

EXHIBIT A



May 21, 2018

Via Electronic Mail

Tamara Leetham AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Ave, Suite A112 San Diego, 92110 tamara@austinlegalgroup.com

Re: Withdrawal of Plaintiff's Request for Production to all Defendants (Set Two)

Dear Tammy and Matt,

Following the Court's admonishment at the May 18th hearing that certain of Plaintiff's Request for Production (Set One) are overbroad, we hereby withdraw <u>without prejudice</u> Plaintiff's Request for Production (Set Two) to all Defendants. This is because Set Two contains the same or similar boilerplate that the Court found to be objectionable.

Plaintiff intends to revise and narrow Set Two to address our understanding of the Court's concerns.

Sincerely,

William R. Restis, Esq.

THE RESTIS LAW FIRM, P.C. william@restislaw.com

Cc: Jeffrey R. Krinsk, Esq. Matthew Dart, Esq.

