| 1 | | ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | 12/05/2018 at 03:55:22 PM |
| 3 | | Clerk of the Superior Court By Tamara Parra,Deputy Clerk |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT FOR T | HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO | |
| 10 | KARL BECK , individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated California residents, | Case No: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | CLASS ACTION |
| 12 | V. | |
| 13 | POINT LOMA PATIENTS CONSUMER COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, A | [PROPOSED] ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY |
| 14 | California Corporation, ADAM KNOPF, an | APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION |
| 15 | Individual, JUSTUS H. HENKES IV, an Individual, 419 CONSULTING INC., a | SETTLEMENT |
| 16 | California Corporation, GOLDEN STATE GREENS LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST | Date: January 4, 2019 Time: 9:00 a.m. |
| 17 | MANAGEMENT, LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST OPERATING, LLC, a California | Judge: Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil |
| | LLC, FAR WEST STAFFING, LLC , a California LLC, and DOES 1-50 , | Ctrm: C-73 |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Defendants. | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

Plaintiff Karl Beck's ("Class Representative" or "Beck") Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") was heard on January 4, 2019. In connection with the Motion, the Court considered the proposed class action Settlement Agreement (attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of William Restis), the submissions of counsel, and all other papers filed in this action. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement"). The matter having been submitted, and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The provisions of the Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved. The Court finds that the Settlement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class Members, free of collusion or indicia of unfairness, and within the range of possible judicial approval. The Court also finds that the Settlement resulted from arm's length negotiations and is sufficient to warrant the dissemination of Class Notice to the Class Members.
- 2. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769(d), and for purposes of, and solely in connection with, the Settlement, the Court finds that each of the requirements for certification of the Class Members set forth in the Class Representative's Motion for Preliminary Approval are met and hereby conditionally certifies the Class Members comprised of:

All individuals that purchased a product from Point Loma Patients Consumer Cooperative prior to December 31, 2017, except (i) any Defendant in this Action; (ii) Beck's attorneys and litigation staff, including members of their immediate families; or (iii) any judge, justice judicial officer, or judicial staff of the Court.

3. The Court, for Settlement purposes only, finds that certification of the Class Members satisfies the requirements under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769(d). In support of this ruling, the Court conditionally and preliminarily finds that: (a) the Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members; (c) the named Class Representative's claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the named Class Representative and Class

6 7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1718

19

20

212223

24

252627

202728

Counsel identified below are able to adequately represent the Class Members; and (e) class-wide treatment of the disputes raised in the Complaint is superior to other available methods for adjudicating the controversy.

- 4. If the Agreement is terminated or not consummated, conditional certification of the Class Members class shall be void. In that event, the Class Representative, the Class Members, and Defendants shall be returned to their respective statuses as of the date immediately prior to the execution of the Agreement and neither the Agreement nor this Preliminary Approval Order shall have any bearing on, and neither shall be admissible in connection with, (a) any issue in this action or any claim raised under any other state or federal law that was intended to be encompassed within the Complaint; (b) whether certification or decertification would be appropriate in a non-settlement context; (c) Defendants' liability.
- The Court appoints and designates Plaintiff Karl Beck as Class Representative for the Class Members.
- 6. The Court appoints and designates William R. Restis of The Restis Law Firm, P.C. as Class Counsel for the Class Members. Class Counsel are located at the following address:

William R. Restis, Esq. The Restis Law Firm, P.C. 402 West Broadway, Suite 1520 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 270-8383 support@restislaw.com

- 7. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Class Notice, attached as Exhibits A (Long-Form Notice) and B (Short-Form Notice) to the Agreement, and accordingly directs the Administrator to disseminate Class Notice in the form and manner described in the Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order.
- 8. In accordance with the schedule set forth below, the Administrator is directed to establish a website at http://www.PLPCCsettlement.com (the "Settlement Website") to provide information regarding the Settlement, including (a) how to file Exclusion Requests or object to the Settlement, (b) relevant dates and deadlines, including the Notice Response Deadline, Final Approval Hearing Date, and the date that Patronage Distribution Credits will be available for

redemption at the PLPCC if the Settlement becomes Final; and (c) copies of the following documents: Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants' Answer, the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff's Preliminary Approval Motion and supporting documents, this Preliminary Approval Order, Plaintiff's Fee and Cost Application and supporting documents (when filed), Motion for Final Approval and supporting documents (when filed), and Final Order and Judgment (when filed).

- 9. The Court hereby directs the Administrator to provide the approved Class Notice and Settlement Website to the Class Members in accordance with the schedule below and using the procedures set forth in the Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the Class Notice and Settlement Website is the best means practicable of providing notice under the circumstances and when completed shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the Action, the Settlement, and the Final Approval Hearing to all persons affected by and/or authorized to participate in the Settlement in full compliance with California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, and the requirements of due process.
- 11. The provisions of the Agreement relating to the Class Notice, exclusion from the Settlement, and objection to the Settlement, are deemed incorporated as if expressly set forth in this Preliminary Approval Order and have the full force and effect of an Order of this Court.
 - 12. The Court appoints and designates The Notice Company, Inc. as the Administrator.
- 13. Defendant PLPCC shall pay to the Administrator all reasonable costs associated with the administration of the Settlement, distribution of Class Notice pursuant to the Agreement, and any other tasks assigned to the Administrator by the Agreement, by the parties' mutual agreement in writing, or by this Court.
 - 14. The COURT orders the following schedule as set forth in the Motion:
- a. No later than DATE 7 DAYS AFTER PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, the PLPCC shall provide a full and complete Class Member list, including name, mailing address and electronic email address, if available, to the Administrator.
- b. No later than DATE 21 DAYS AFTER PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, the Administrator shall launch the Settlement Website with the Long-Form Notice and disseminate the Short-Form Notice.

CASE No: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

| 1 | IT IS SO ORDERED |
|----|------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | DATED: |
| 4 | Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil JUDGE OF THE |
| 5 | SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | _ 5 _ |

CASE No: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL