	CM-110
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): William R. Restis 246823	FOR COURT USE ONLY
The Restis Law Firm, P.C.	
550 West C Street, Suite 1760	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California,
San Diego, CA 92101	County of San Diego
TELEPHONE NO.: 619 270 8383 FAX NO. (Optional):	03/01/2018 at 03:05:00 PM
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): william@restislaw.com	Clerk of the Superior Court
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Karl Beck	By E- Filing, Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101	
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karl Beck	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Point Loma Patients Consumer Coop. Corp. et al	
	CASE NUMBER:
(Check one): VINLIMITED CASE III LIMITED CASE	37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CT
(Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) or less)	
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	
Date: March 16, 2018 Time: 1:30 pm Dept.: C-73	Div.: Room:
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name):	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	d information must be provided.
1. Party or parties (answer one):	•
a. V This statement is submitted by party (name): Plaintiff Karl Beck	
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):	
2. Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainan	ts only)
a. The complaint was filed on <i>(date):</i> 10/6/2017	
b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):	
3. Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served,	have appeared or have been dismissed
 b. The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint 	have appeared, of have been distributed.
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
()) <u> </u>	
(2) have been served but have not appeared and have not been	dismissed (specify names):
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):	
c. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of in they may be served):	nvolvement in case, and date by which
4. Description of case a. Type of case in v complaint cross-complaint (Describe, i	ncluding causes of action).
a. Type of case in <u>v</u> complaint <u>cross-complaint</u> (Describe, i Defendants operated a for profit medical marijuana cooperative in violat	ncluding causes of action): ion of California's medical marijuana
laws and Corporations Code. Court has sustained class causes of action (CLRA), conversion, and request to appoint independent accountant per	n for B&P 17200 (UCL), Civ. Code 1770

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karl Beck	CASE NUMBER:	
—	37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CT	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Point Loma Patients Consumer Coop. Corp. et al		
4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, desc Plaintiff prays for class wide relief as follows: appointment of independant ac and records, pro rata restitution/damages to class members of concealed pr award of litigation costs and attorneys fees per, inter alia, CLRA and Corp. C	estimated future medical expenses, lost ribe the nature of the relief.) ccountant to audit Defendants' books ofits as "patronage distributions,"	
(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachm	nent 4b.)	
5. Jury or nonjury trial	,	
	one party, provide the name of each party	
6. Trial date		
 a. The trial has been set for (date): b. V No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of t not, explain): Trial date should not be set until after ruling on class certification and n 		
c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and ex	•	
 7. Estimated length of trial The party or parties estimate that the trial will take <i>(check one):</i> a days <i>(specify number):</i> 7 b hours (short causes) <i>(specify):</i> 		
 8. Trial representation (to be answered for each party) The party or parties will be represented at trial Attorney: b. Firm: 	ne caption by the following:	
c. Address:		
d. Telephone number: f. Fax numbe	r:	
e. E-mail address: g. Party repre	esented:	
Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.		
 9. Preference This case is entitled to preference (specify code section): 		
10. Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)		
	in difference and the second	
 ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are available the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information a court and community programs in this case. 		
(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel has has not provide in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	ed the ADR information package identified	
(2) For self-represented parties: Party D has has has not reviewed the ADR in	formation package identified in rule 3.221.	
b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).		
(1) This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil P mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amou statutory limit.	rocedure section 1141.11 or to civil action nt in controversy does not exceed the	
(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit reco Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	overy to the amount specified in Code of	
(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Californi mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify exemplication) (specify exemplication)	ia Rules of Court or from civil action <i>mption):</i>	

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karl Beck	CASE NUMBER:
— DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Point Loma Patients Consumer Coop. Corp. et al	37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CT

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in (check all that apply and provide the specified information):

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):	
(1) Mediation	r	 Mediation session not yet scheduled Mediation session scheduled for (<i>date</i>): Agreed to complete mediation by (<i>date</i>): Mediation completed on (<i>date</i>): 	
(2) Settlement conference	Ľ	 Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (<i>date</i>): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (<i>date</i>): Settlement conference completed on (<i>date</i>): 	
(3) Neutral evaluation		 Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (<i>date</i>): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (<i>date</i>): Neutral evaluation completed on (<i>date</i>): 	
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		 Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (<i>date</i>): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (<i>date</i>): Judicial arbitration completed on (<i>date</i>): 	
(5) Binding private arbitration		 Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (<i>date</i>): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (<i>date</i>): Private arbitration completed on (<i>date</i>): 	
(6) Other (<i>specify</i>):		 ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (<i>date</i>): Agreed to complete ADR session by (<i>date</i>): ADR completed on (<i>date</i>): 	

	CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Karl Beck	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Point Loma Patients Consumer Coop. Corp. et al	37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CT
 11. Insurance a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): b. Reservation of rights: Yes No c. Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain): 	
 12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case ar Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status: 	nd describe the status.
 13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or related cases. (1) Name of case: Bobo v. PLPCC et al (2) Name of court: San Diego Superior Court (3) Case number: 37-2017-00037348-CU-NP-CTL (4) Status: Amended complaint filed, pleadings not yet set Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a. b. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be filed by a set 	(name party):
14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or co action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons):	pordinating the following issues or causes of

15. Other motions

The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify moving party, type of motion, and issues): See Attachment

16. Discovery

- a. The party or parties have completed all discovery.
- b. r The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all anticipated discovery):

Party	Description	Date
Class Certification Discovery	Motions to compel filed by	May 30, 2018

c.
The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of electronically stored information, are anticipated (*specify*): See Attachment

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	Karl Beck	CASE NUMBER:
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	Point Loma Patients Consumer Coop. Corp. et al	37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CT

17. Economic litigation

- a. This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case.
- b. This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the economic litigation procedures or for additional discovery will be filed *(if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation procedures relating to discovery or trial should not apply to this case):*

18. Other issues

The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered or determined at the case management conference (*specify*):

Schedule Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification. The parties jointly propose that Plaintiff's motion for class certification should be filed by June 30, 2018.

19. Meet and confer

- a. **V** The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court *(if not, explain):*
- After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court, the parties agree on the following (specify): See Attachment

20. Total number of pages attached (if any): 4

I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the case management conference, including the written authority of the party where required.

Date:

William R	. Restis,	Esq.
-----------	-----------	------

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(0	

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
Additional signatures are attached.

1	THE RESTIS LAW FIRM, P.C.	
2	William R. Restis, Esq. (SBN 246823) 550 West C Street, Suite 1760	
3	San Diego, California 92101 +1.619.270.8383	
4	+1.619.752.1552	
5	william@restislaw.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	[Additional Counsel Listed On Signature Page]	
8		
9		
10		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		F SAN DIEGO
12	KARL BECK , individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated California residents,	Case No: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
14	v. POINT LOMA PATIENTS CONSUMER	PLAINTIFF'S ATTACHMENT TO CASE
15	COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, A California Corporation, ADAM KNOPF , an	MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
16	Individual, JUSTUS H. HENKES IV, an Individual, 419 CONSULTING INC., a California	Date: March 16, 2018
17	Corporation, GOLDEN STATE GREENS LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST MANAGEMENT,	Time: 1:30 p.m. Judge: Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil
18	LLC, a California LLC, FAR WEST OPERATING, LLC, a California LLC, FAR	Ctrm: C-73
19 20	WEST STAFFING, LLC, a California LLC, and DOES 1-50,	
20	Defendants.	
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28	PLTF'S ATTACHMENT TO CM STATEMENT	CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

1	15.	<u>Other</u>	Motions
2		a.	Status of Pending Motions
3		•	Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Class Member List:
4			• Filed: February 15, 2018
5			• Hearing: March 23, 2018
6		b.	Anticipated Pretrial Motions
7		•	Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings to Defendants' Joint Answer
8			• On February 22, 2018, Plaintiff notified Defendants that their Joint Answer
9			(RoA # 47) was defective as many of the affirmative defenses are not "new
10			matter," are conclusory without factual support, and are not available for the
11			causes of action pled. Plaintiff requested Defendants file an amended answer
12			to correct these deficiencies to avoid motion practice. However, if
13			Defendants do not file an amended answer, Plaintiff will move for judgment
14			on the pleadings to narrow the issues in dispute.
15		•	Summary Adjudication on Plaintiff's Inspection Rights and Entitlement to
16			Patronage Distributions Under the California Law
17			• In denying Plaintiff's Motion to inspect Defendants' records and appoint an
18			independent accountant, the Court found that "this Motion is procedurally
19			improper, and is denied on this basis. This denial is without prejudice of
20			Plaintiff's ability to adjudicate this important issue via any available future
21			proceeding (e.g., Motion for summary adjudication or trial). RoA # 46.
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27		ATTACIN	1 1 MENT TO CM STATEMENT CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL
28		ATTACHN	VIENT TO CIVESTATEMENT CASE NO. 57-2017-00057524-CU-DT-CTL

1	• <u>Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification¹</u>
2	• Because the "predominance" inquiry on class certification requires a review
3	of the parties' claims and defenses, the pleadings must be set. Thus, Plaintiff
4	will need a ruling on his motion for judgment on the pleadings to
5	Defendants' Joint Answer (if necessary) prior to moving for class
6	certification.
7	<u>Summary Judgment / Adjudication</u>
8	16a. <u>Anticipated Discovery</u>
9	Plaintiff's Outstanding Inspection Requests:
10	• Identification of Class Members: (see pending motions)
11	• <u>Corporate Documents:</u> Corporate articles and bylaws, meeting minutes, and
12	contracts reflecting relations among defendants.
13	o Financial records from all Defendants: Financial statements (bank,
14	investment, property), tax returns and related documents, property owned,
15	corporate ledgers, loans, salaries, deferred compensation, retirement
16	contributions, gifts, costs, etc. <i>i.e.</i> , documentation for forensic accountant to
17	audit Defendants' finances. ²
18	• Mirror Images of Certain Databases: patron transaction databases from POS
19	system, bookkeeping software databases
20	
21	1
22	¹ During meet and confer, Plaintiff requested that Defendants stipulate to class certification to narrow the issues in dispute and obviate the need for a significant portion of discovery. Defendants' declined.
23	² Plaintiff attempted to resolve issues concerning Defendants' finances in the most efficient
24	way possible: review by an independent accountant appointed by the Court. This statutorily approved procedure would have obviated the need for formal discovery and attendant
25	motion practice on the issue of unlawful profits. Defendants' steadfastly frustrated Plaintiff's litigation plan, and cannot now claim (as they have) that discovery into their finances is
26	inappropriate or "burdensome."
27	PLTF'S ATTACHMENT TO CM STATEMENT CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL
28	

1	• Communications among Defendants and third parties related to finances or
2	procuring medical marijuana
3	 <u>Agreements among Defendants and contractors</u>
4	Plaintiff's Outstanding Interrogatories
5	o Identification of ESI sources: Computers, phones, software used,
6	databases and cloud repositories
7	• Identification of employees and independent contractors
8	• Identification of safe deposit boxes and safes
9	• <u>Number of putative class members</u>
10	• Form Interrogatories: basic personal and corporate information and
11	factual basis for affirmative defenses
12	<u>Anticipated Future Discovery</u>
13	• <u>Depositions</u> : Because no documents have been produced to date,
14	Plaintiff has been unable to notice depositions, which will include the
15	Individual Defendants and key employees (yet to be identified).
16	• Third party subpoenas: possible former employees of Defendants and
17	entities hosting Defendants' documents such as Dropbox.
18	• <u>Requests for admissions</u> : regarding key elements of Plaintiff's claims
19	such as Plaintiff and the Class' entitlement to inspection rights and
20	patronage distributions.
21	16.c. <u>Discovery Issues Including ESI</u>
22	Defendants have filed blanket boilerplate objections to each of Plaintiff's inspection
23	demands and special interrogatories. Plaintiff anticipates multiple motions to compel.
24	In addition, Defendants have frustrated Plaintiff's ability to identify sources of ESI. For
25	example, Plaintiff asked defendants to identify their computers by brand, model, and serial
26	number. With no other identification, defendant PLPCC responded that it has "[a]pproximately
27	3
28	PLTF'S ATTACHMENT TO CM STATEMENT CASE NO: 37-2017-00037524-CU-BT-CTL

twenty HP desktops, two HP pavilion laptops." Plaintiff intends to move to compel identification of these sources to ensure the sanctity of relevant evidence.

Due to the nature of the litigation, *i.e.*, Defendants' all cash business, allegations of potential criminal conduct, and Defendant Henkes' as the book keeper / accountant for Defendants' medical marijuana business, Plaintiff is concerned about an appropriate audit trail for Defendants books and records. Thus, Plaintiff has requested that all financial documentation be produced in native format so meta data on files can be inspected for veracity.

19.b. <u>Party Stipulations</u>

• The parties will amend their stipulated protective order to include clawback provision

PLTF'S ATTACHMENT TO CM STATEMENT