ELECTRONICALLY FILED 1 George R. Najjar, Esq. (SBN 163923) Superior Court of California, THE NAJJAR LAW FIRM County of San Diego 1901 First Avenue, First Floor 04/03/2023 at 08:00:00 AM San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 233-3445 3 Tel.: Clerk of the Superior Court By E. Filing Deputy Clerk Fax.: (619) 233-3446 4 Email: gnajjarl@san.rr.com 5 Attorney for Defendant Abhay Schweitzer, individually and doing business as TECHNE 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on behalf) CASE NO.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL of her minor children, T.S. and S.S., ANDREW FLORES, an individual, **OBJECTION RE: PLAINTIFFS' FAILURE** 11 TO FILE PAPERS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES 12 Plaintiffs. SUBMITTED BY DEFENDANT ABHAY 13 SCHWEITZER, INDIVIDUALLY AND v. DOING BUSINESS AS TECHNE GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, a professional corporation, **IMAGED FILE** LARRY GERACI, an individual, REBECCA BERRY, an individual; JESSICA McELFRESH, UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE an individual; SALAM RAZUKI, an individual; NINUS MALAN, an individual; FINCH, THORTON, AND BARID, a limited liability 17 12/3/2021 Complaint Filed: partnership; ABHAY SCHWEITZER, an 18 individual and dba TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM) BARTELL, an individual; NATALIE 19 TRANG-MY NGUYEN, an individual, AARON MAGAGNA, an individual; BRADFORD HARCOURT, an individual; SHAWN MILLER, 20 an individual: LOGAN STELLMACHER, an 21 individual; EULENTHIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an individual; STEPHEN LAKE, 22 an individual, ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC., a California corporation, PRODIGIOUS 23 COLLECTIVES, LLC, a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 24 25 Defendants. 26 Date: April 7, 2023 Time: 9:00 A.M. 27 Dept.: C-75 28 Judge: Honorable James A Mangione 1

Dated: March 28, 2023

Defendant ABHAY SCHWEITZER, individually and doing business as TECHNE ("Schweitzer"), hereby objects to any oral argument, and any opposition papers which may be tardily filed, by Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, an individual and on behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S., and Andrew Flores, an individual ("Plaintiffs"), to Schweitzer's Motion for Attorney Fees.

Plaintiffs' opposition papers to Schweitzer's attorney fees motion were due filed and served on or before March 24, 2023. As of Friday, March 31, 2023, Plaintiffs failed to file or serve any opposition papers to Schweitzer's motion.

Some courts treat a party's failure to file opposition papers as an admission that the motion is meritorious, and therefore refuse to hear oral argument from such party. Weil & Brown et al., Cal. Prac. Guide: Civ. Pro. Before Trial (The Rutter Group 2022), 9:105.10. The purpose is to prevent introduction of legal theories without notice to opposing counsel and the court. Sexton v. Superior Court (Mullikin Medical Center) (1997) 58 Cal. App. 4th 1403, 1410.

Respectfully submitted,

THE NAJJAR LAW FIRM

George R. Najjar, Esq. Attorney for Defendant