

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUN 26 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: ANDREW FLORES; et al.

ANDREW FLORES, an individual; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO,

Respondent,

GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 20-71813

D.C. No.

3:20-cv-00656-BAS-DEB

Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: GRABER, WARDLAW, and R. NELSON, Circuit Judges.

Petitioners have not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of the court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977); *see also Demos v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161 (9th Cir. 1991) (“[T]his court lacks jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus to a state court.”). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

Petitioners’ motion for injunctive relief (Docket Entry No. 2) is denied as moot.

No further filings will be accepted in this closed case.

DENIED.