

1 Douglas A. Pettit, Esq., SBN 160371  
Kayla R. Sealey, Esq., SBN 341956  
2 **PETTIT KOHN INGRASSIA LUTZ & DOLIN PC**  
11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300  
3 San Diego, CA 92130  
Telephone: (858) 755-8500  
4 Facsimile: (858) 755-8504  
E-mail: [dpettit@pettitkohn.com](mailto:dpettit@pettitkohn.com)  
5 [ksealey@pettitkohn.com](mailto:ksealey@pettitkohn.com)

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
**GINA M. AUSTIN and**  
7 **AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP**

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
9 **FOR THE COUNTY SAN DIEGO – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

11 AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on  
12 behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S.,  
ANDREW FLORES, an individual,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN  
16 LEGAL GROUP, a professional  
corporation, LARRY GERACI, an  
17 individual, REBECCA BERRY, an  
individual; JESSICA MCELFRISH, an  
18 individual; SALAM RAZUKI, an  
individual; NINUS MALAN, an individual;  
19 FINCH, THORTON, AND BARID, a  
limited liability partnership; ABHAY  
20 SCHWEITZER, an individual and dba  
TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM) BARTELL,  
21 an individual; NATALIE TRANG-MY  
NGUYEN, an individual, AARON  
22 MAGAGNA, an individual; BRADFORD  
HARCOURT, an individual; SHAWN  
23 MILLER, an individual; LOGAN  
STELLMACHER, an individual;  
24 EULENTIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an  
individual; STEPHEN LAKE, an  
25 individual, ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC. a  
California corporation, PRODIGIOUS  
26 COLLECTIVES, LLC, a limited liability  
company, and DOES 1 through 50,  
27 inclusive,

28 Defendants.

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED**  
Superior Court of California,  
County of San Diego  
**11/14/2022** at 08:00:00 AM  
Clerk of the Superior Court  
By Regina Chanez, Deputy Clerk

CASE NO.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

**NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANTS GINA M. AUSTIN AND  
AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP'S MOTION FOR  
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS**

**[IMAGED FILE]**

**Date: November 18, 2022**  
**Time: 9:00 a.m.**

Dept.: C-75  
Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione  
Filed: December 3, 2021  
Trial: Not Set

1 Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP (“Defendants”) hereby  
2 submit this Notice of Non-opposition to their Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs.

3 **I.**

4 **NO OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION HAS BEEN RECEIVED**

5 The opposition to a motion for attorneys’ fees and costs is required to be served and filed  
6 at least nine (9) court days before the hearing. (Code of Civ. Proc., § 1005, subd. (b); Cal. Rules  
7 of Court, Rule 3.1300, subd. (b).) Defendants served and filed the instant motion for attorneys’  
8 fees and costs on September 21, 2022, with a noticed hearing date of November 18, 2022. Thus,  
9 Plaintiffs’ opposition, if any, was required to be filed on or before November 4, 2022. Plaintiffs  
10 did not timely serve any opposition papers by November 4, 2022, or at all.

11 **II.**

12 **CONCLUSION**

13 Defendants’ motion for attorneys’ fees is unopposed and should be granted.

14 **PETTIT KOHN INGRASSIA LUTZ & DOLIN PC**

15  
16 Dated: November 11, 2022

17 By:



18 Douglas A. Pettit, Esq.,  
19 Kayla R. Sealey, Esq.,  
20 Attorneys for Defendants  
21 **GINA M. AUSTIN and**  
22 **AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP**

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 *Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al.*  
3 **San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL**

4 I, the undersigned, declare that:

5 I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18)  
6 years and am not a party to the action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California,  
7 and my business address is 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92130.

8 On **November 11, 2022**, I caused to be served the following documents:


- 9 • **NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS GINA M. AUSTIN AND**  
10 **AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP’S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES AND COSTS**
- 11 **[X] BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court,**  
12 **rule 2.251):** Based on an agreement between the parties to accept service by e-mail or  
13 electronic transmission, I caused such document(s) to be electronically served to those  
14 parties listed below from e-mail address [lzamora@pettitkohn.com](mailto:lzamora@pettitkohn.com). The file transmission  
15 was reported as complete and a copy of the Service Receipt will be maintained with the  
16 original document(s) in our office.

17 Andrew Flores, Esq. 18 Law Office of Andrew Flores 19 427 C Street, Suite 220 20 San Diego, CA 92101 21 Tel: (619) 356-1556 22 Fax: (619) 274-8053 23 Email: <a href="mailto:Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro">Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro</a> 24 <b>Plaintiff in <i>Propria Persona</i></b> 25 <b>and Attorney for Plaintiffs</b> 26 <b>Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S.</b> 27 <b>and S.S.</b>	28 James D. Crosby, Esq. Attorney at Law 550 West C Street, Suite 620 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 450-4149 Email: <a href="mailto:crosby@crosbyattorney.com">crosby@crosbyattorney.com</a> <b>Attorney for Defendants</b> <b>LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY</b>
Scott H. Toothacre, Esq. Michael R. Weinstein, Esq. FERRIS & BRITTON 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 233-3131 Email: <a href="mailto:stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com">stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com</a> <a href="mailto:mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com">mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com</a> <a href="mailto:dbarker@ferrisbritton.com">dbarker@ferrisbritton.com</a> <b>Attorney for Defendants</b> <b>LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY</b>	Steven W. Blake, Esq. Andrew E. Hall, Esq. BLAKE LAW FIRM 533 2nd Street, Suite 250 Encinitas, CA 92024 Tel: (858) 232-1290 Email: <a href="mailto:steve@blakelawca.com">steve@blakelawca.com</a> <a href="mailto:andrew@blakelawca.com">andrew@blakelawca.com</a> <a href="mailto:eservice@blakelawca.com">eservice@blakelawca.com</a> <b>Attorney for Defendant</b> <b>STEPHEN LAKE</b>
Natalie T. Nguyen, Esq. NGUYEN LAW CORPORATION 2260 Avenida de la Playa La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: (858) 757-8577 Email: <a href="mailto:natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com">natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com</a> <b>Defendant NATALIE TRANG-MY</b> <b>NGUYEN <i>PRO SE</i></b>	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I am readily familiar with the firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on **November 11, 2022**, at San Diego, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Luis Zamora