

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY SAN DIEGO – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on
behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S.,
ANDREW FLORES, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN
LEGAL GROUP, a professional
corporation, LARRY GERACI, an
individual, REBECCA BERRY, an
individual; JESSICA MCELFRISH, an
individual; SALAM RAZUKI, an
individual; NINUS MALAN, an individual;
FINCH, THORTON, AND BARID, a
limited liability partnership; ABHAY
SCHWEITZER, an individual and dba
TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM) BARTELL,
an individual; NATALIE TRANG-MY
NGUYEN, an individual, AARON
MAGAGNA, an individual; BRADFORD
HARCOURT, an individual; SHAWN
MILLER, an individual; LOGAN
STELLMACHER, an individual;
EULENTIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an
individual; STEPHEN LAKE, an
individual, ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC. a
California corporation, PRODIGIOUS
COLLECTIVES, LLC, a limited liability
company, and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

~~[PROPOSED]~~ AMENDED JUDGMENT

[IMAGED FILE]

Dept.: C-75
Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione
Filed: December 3, 2021
Trial: Not Set

1 1. On August 12, 2022, after full briefing and oral argument, this Court granted
2 Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP's ("Defendants") special motion
3 to strike pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16 ("anti-SLAPP").

4 2. On January 30, 2023, this Court issued a Revised Judgment in favor of Defendants
5 against Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, an individual and on behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S.
6 and Andrew Flores, jointly and severally, after the anti-SLAPP Motion was granted, awarding
7 attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of ~~\$27,727.50~~ ^{\$ 26, 727.50} and interest on that amount at a rate of ten
8 percent (10%) per year from the date of the entry of judgment until paid in full. A copy of the
9 Revised Judgment is attached as Exhibit A.

10 3. To date, the judgment has not been paid. As of May 14, 2024, the interest is
11 \$3,441.62 on that judgment and it continues to accrue interest.

12 4. On August 16, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal from the Court's ruling
13 granting Defendants' anti-SLAPP Motion.

14 5. On September 18, 2023, after full briefing and oral argument, the Court of Appeal
15 affirmed this Court's anti-SLAPP ruling and awarded Defendants costs on appeal.

16 6. On January 8, 2024, Defendants filed their Motion for Attorneys' Fees in relation
17 to the appellate fees. Plaintiffs did not file an Opposition, and on April 11, 2024, this Court
18 awarded Defendants \$20,558.50, for further attorneys' fees, to be paid within 60 days, plus
19 interest on that amount at a rate of ten percent (10%) per year from the date of entry of judgment
20 until paid in full. Attached as Exhibit B is the Notice of Ruling.

21 THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED HAT:

22 7. Plaintiff's Complaint is stricken in its entirety as to Defendants, and this action is
23 dismissed as to Defendants.

24 8. Defendants are entitled to a judgment in their favor against Plaintiffs, and
25 Plaintiffs shall take nothing by way of their Complaint against Defendants; and

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


9. Defendants shall recover, from Plaintiffs, their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of ~~\$51,727.62~~ ^{\$50,727.62}. This amount consists of the following:

- ~~\$27,727.50~~ ^{\$26,727.50} from the Court's January 23, 2023 Revised Judgment granting Defendants attorneys' fees.
- \$3441.62 on unpaid interest on the January 23, 2023 award of attorneys' fees.
- \$20,550.50 from the Court's April 11, 2024 ruling granting Defendants attorneys' fees on appeal.

10. Defendants shall recover interest on the principal amount of ~~\$51,727.62~~ ^{\$50,727.62} remaining unsatisfied, at the rate of ten percent (10%) per year from the date of entry of this judgment until paid in full.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 07/01/2024

By: 
Judge of the Superior Court
James A. Mangione

PROOF OF SERVICE
Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al.
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

I, the undersigned, declare that:

I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, and my business address is 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92130.

On **May 15, 2024**, I caused to be served the following documents:

- **[PROPOSED] REVISED JUDGMENT**

[X] BY MAIL: By placing a copy thereof for delivery in a separate envelope addressed to each addressee, respectively, as follows:

- BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(a)-(b))**
- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(c)-(d))**
- BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(a)-(b))**

[X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.251): I caused such document(s) to be electronically served on those parties listed below, at their respective electronic service address(es) listed below, from e-mail address lzamora@pettitkohn.com.

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (California Rule of Court 2.251): By submitting an electronic version of the document(s) via file transfer protocol (FTP) to OneLegal Online Court Services through the upload feature at www.onelegal.com.


<p>Andrew Flores, Esq. Law Office of Andrew Flores 427 C Street, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 356-1556 Fax: (619) 274-8053 Email: Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro Plaintiff in <i>Propria Persona</i> and Attorney for Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S. and S.S.</p>	<p>James D. Crosby, Esq. Attorney at Law 550 West C Street, Suite 620 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 450-4149 Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY</p>
<p>Scott H. Toothacre, Esq. Michael R. Weinstein, Esq. FERRIS & BRITTON 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 233-3131 Email: stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com dbarker@ferrisbritton.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY</p>	<p>Steven W. Blake, Esq. Andrew E. Hall, Esq. BLAKE LAW FIRM 533 2nd Street, Suite 250 Encinitas, CA 92024 Tel: (858) 232-1290 Email: steve@blakelawca.com andrew@blakelawca.com eservice@blakelawca.com Attorney for Defendant STEPHEN LAKE</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<p>Natalie T. Nguyen, Esq. NGUYEN LAW CORPORATION 2260 Avenida de la Playa La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: (858) 757-8577 Email: natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com Defendant NATALIE TRANG-MY NGUYEN PRO SE</p>	<p>Regan Furcolo, Esq. Laura Stewart, Esq. WALSH MCKEAN FURCOLO LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 950 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-8486 Email: rfurcolo@wmflp.com lstewart@wmflp.com dtyson@wmflp.com Defendant JESSICA MCELFRISH</p>
<p>[U.S Mail] Abhay Schweitzer 2934 Lincoln Avenue San Diego, CA 92104 Tel: (313) 595-5814 Email: Defendant In Pro Per ABHAY SCHWEITZER dba TECHNE</p>	<p>Douglas Jaffe, Esq. 501 West Broadway, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 400-4945 Email: Dougjaffelaw@gmail.com Defendant SALAM RAZUK</p>

I am readily familiar with the firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on **May 15, 2024**, at San Diego, California.



Luis Zamora

Exhibit A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego

01/30/2023 at 04:20:00 PM
Clerk of the Superior Court
By Cecilia Boyle, Deputy Clerk

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY SAN DIEGO – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on
behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S.,
ANDREW FLORES, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN
LEGAL GROUP, a professional
corporation, LARRY GERACI, an
individual, REBECCA BERRY, an
individual; JESSICA MCELFRISH, an
individual; SALAM RAZUKI, an
individual; NINUS MALAN, an individual;
FINCH, THORTON, AND BARID, a
limited liability partnership; ABHAY
SCHWEITZER, an individual and dba
TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM) BARTELL,
an individual; NATALIE TRANG-MY
NGUYEN, an individual, AARON
MAGAGNA, an individual; BRADFORD
HARCOURT, an individual; SHAWN
MILLER, an individual; LOGAN
STELLMACHER, an individual;
EULENTHIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an
individual; STEPHEN LAKE, an
individual, ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC. a
California corporation, PRODIGIOUS
COLLECTIVES, LLC, a limited liability
company, and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

~~[PROPOSED]~~ REVISED JUDGMENT

[IMAGED FILE]

Dept.: C-75
Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione
Filed: December 3, 2021
Trial: Not Set

1 On August 12, 2022, having considered all the evidence and arguments submitted by the
2 parties, the Court in the above-entitled matter granted Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and
3 AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP's Special Motion to Strike under Code of Civil Procedure section
4 425.16 as to the Complaint for damages filed by Plaintiffs AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and
5 on behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S. and ANDREW FLORES, and all causes of action
6 alleged therein. The August 12, 2022 order adjudicated and disposed of all claims asserted by
7 Plaintiffs against Defendants. Accordingly,

8 IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that judgment is entered in favor of
9 Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP and against Plaintiffs AMY
10 SHERLOCK, an individual and on behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S. and ANDREW
11 FLORES. Plaintiffs AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on behalf of her minor children, T.S.
12 and S.S. and ANDREW FLORES shall take nothing by way of their Complaint against
13 Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP.

14 Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP shall recover from
15 Plaintiffs AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S.
16 and ANDREW FLORES, jointly and severally, attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of
17 \$26,727.50 and interest on that amount at a rate of ten percent (10%) per year from the date of
18 entry of judgment until paid in full.

19
20 Dated: 01/30/23

By 

Judge of the Superior Court

Judge James A. Mangione

PROOF OF SERVICE

Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al.
San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

I, the undersigned, declare that:

I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, and my business address is 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92130.

On **January 9, 2023**, I caused to be served the following documents:

- **[PROPOSED] REVISED JUDGMENT**

[X] BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.251): Based on an agreement between the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused such document(s) to be electronically served to those parties listed below from e-mail address lzamora@pettitkohn.com. The file transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the Service Receipt will be maintained with the original document(s) in our office.

<p>Andrew Flores, Esq. Law Office of Andrew Flores 427 C Street, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 356-1556 Fax: (619) 274-8053 Email: Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro Plaintiff in <i>Propria Persona</i> and Attorney for Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S. and S.S.</p>	<p>James D. Crosby, Esq. Attorney at Law 550 West C Street, Suite 620 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 450-4149 Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY</p>
<p>Scott H. Toothacre, Esq. Michael R. Weinstein, Esq. FERRIS & BRITTON 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 233-3131 Email: stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com dbarker@ferrisbritton.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY</p>	<p>Steven W. Blake, Esq. Andrew E. Hall, Esq. BLAKE LAW FIRM 533 2nd Street, Suite 250 Encinitas, CA 92024 Tel: (858) 232-1290 Email: steve@blakelawca.com andrew@blakelawca.com eservice@blakelawca.com Attorney for Defendant STEPHEN LAKE</p>


///
///
///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<p>Natalie T. Nguyen, Esq. NGUYEN LAW CORPORATION 2260 Avenida de la Playa La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: (858) 757-8577 Email: natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com Defendant NATALIE TRANG-MY NGUYEN PRO SE</p>	<p>Regan Furcolo, Esq. Laura Stewart, Esq. WALSH MCKEAN FURCOLO LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 950 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-8486 Email: rfurcolo@wmflp.com lstewart@wmflp.com mdavis@wmflp.com Defendant JESSICA MCELFRISH</p>
<p>George R. Najjar, Esq. THE NAJJAR LAW FIRM 1901 First Avenue, First Floor San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 233-3445 Email: gnajjar1@san.rr.com Defendant ABHAY SCHWEITZER dba TECHNE</p>	<p>Douglas Jaffe, Esq. 501 West Broadway, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 400-4945 Email: Dougjaffelaw@gmail.com Defendant SALAM RAZUK</p>

I am readily familiar with the firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on **January 9, 2023**, at San Diego, California.



Luis Zamora

Exhibit B

1 Douglas A. Pettit, Esq., SBN 160371
2 Annie F. Fraser, Esq., SBN 144662
3 **PETTIT KOHN INGRASSIA LUTZ & DOLIN PC**
4 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300
5 San Diego, CA 92130
6 Telephone: (858) 755-8500
7 Facsimile: (858) 755-8504
8 E-mail: dpettit@pettitkohn.com
9 afraser@pettitkohn.com

10 Attorneys for Defendants
11 **GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP**

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego

04/12/2024 at 10:47:00 AM

Clerk of the Superior Court
By E- Filing, Deputy Clerk

12 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO – CENTRAL DIVISION**

14 AMY SHERLOCK, an individual and on
15 behalf of her minor children, T.S. and S.S.,
16 ANDREW FLORES, an individual,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 GINA M. AUSTIN, an individual; AUSTIN
20 LEGAL GROUP, a professional corporation,
21 LARRY GERACI, an individual, REBECCA
22 BERRY, an individual; JESSICA
23 MCELFRESH, an individual; SALAM
24 RAZUKI, an individual; NINUS MALAN,
25 an individual; FINCH, THORTON, AND
26 BARID, a limited liability partnership;
27 ABHAY SCHWEITZER, an individual and
28 dba TECHNE; JAMES (AKA JIM)
BARTELL, an individual; NATALIE
TRANG-MY NGUYEN, an individual,
AARON MAGAGNA, an individual;
BRADFORD HARCOURT, an individual;
SHAWN MILLER, an individual; LOGAN
STELLMACHER, an individual;
EULENTHIAS DUANE ALEXANDER, an
individual; STEPHEN LAKE, an individual,
ALLIED SPECTRUM, INC. a California
corporation, PRODIGIOUS
COLLECTIVES, LLC, a limited liability
company, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

**NOTICE OF RULING RE: DEFENDANTS
GINA M. AUSTIN AND AUSTIN LEGAL
GROUP'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS'
FEES AND COSTS**

Dept.: C-75
Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione
Filed: December 3, 2021
Trial: Not Set

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:


PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on April 12, 2024, at 9:00 a.m. in Department C-75 of the above-entitled court, located at 330 West Broadway, San Diego California 92101, the Honorable James A. Mangione presiding, the motion for attorneys’ fees and costs came on for hearing. Annie Fraser appeared on behalf of Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP (collectively, “Defendants”). No appearance made on behalf of Plaintiffs. Pursuant to the hearing, the Court ruled as follows:

1. The Court confirmed the April 12, 2024, tentative ruling granting Defendants GINA M. AUSTIN and AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP’s motion for attorneys’ fees and costs.
2. Defendants are ordered to provide notice of the Court’s ruling.

A true and correct copy of the confirmed tentative ruling is attached hereto as **Exhibit “A”** and is incorporated by reference hereto.

PETTIT KOHN INGRASSIA LUTZ & DOLIN PC

Dated: April 12, 2024

By: 

Douglas A. Pettit, Esq.
Annie F. Fraser, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants
**GINA M. AUSTIN and
AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP**

Exhibit A

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

HALL OF JUSTICE

TENTATIVE RULINGS - April 11, 2024

EVENT DATE: 04/12/2024

EVENT TIME: 09:00:00 AM

DEPT.: C-75

JUDICIAL OFFICER: James A Mangione

CASE NO.: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

CASE TITLE: SHERLOCK VS AUSTIN [EFILE]

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited

CASE TYPE: Antitrust/Trade Regulation

EVENT TYPE: Motion Hearing (Civil)

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED:

Defendants Gina Austin and Austin Legal Group's Motion for Attorney's Fees is granted.

Plaintiffs have failed to file an opposition, thereby conceding the merits of the motion. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.54(c).)

Defendants are awarded attorney's fees of \$20,558.50, to be paid within sixty (60) days.

The minute order is the order of the Court.

Defendants are directed to provide notice of the Court's ruling within five (5) court days.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE
Amy Sherlock, et al. v. Gina M. Austin, et al.
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL

I, the undersigned, declare that:

I am and was at the time of service of the papers herein, over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, and my business address is 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92130.

On **April 12, 2024**, I caused to be served the following documents:

- **NOTICE OF RULING RE: DEFENDANTS GINA M. AUSTIN AND AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP’S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES AND COSTS**

[X] **BY MAIL:** By placing a copy thereof for delivery in a separate envelope addressed to each addressee, respectively, as follows:

- [X]** **BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(a)-(b))**
- []** **BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(c)-(d))**
- []** **BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1013(a)-(b))**

[X] **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6 and Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.251):** I caused such document(s) to be electronically served on those parties listed below, at their respective electronic service address(es) listed below, from e-mail address lzamora@pettitkohn.com.

[] **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (California Rule of Court 2.251):** By submitting an electronic version of the document(s) via file transfer protocol (FTP) to OneLegal Online Court Services through the upload feature at www.onelegal.com.


Andrew Flores, Esq. Law Office of Andrew Flores 427 C Street, Suite 220 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 356-1556 Fax: (619) 274-8053 Email: Andrew@FloresLegal.Pro Plaintiff in Propria Persona and Attorney for Plaintiffs Amy Sherlock, Minors T.S. and S.S.	James D. Crosby, Esq. Attorney at Law 550 West C Street, Suite 620 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 450-4149 Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY
Scott H. Toothacre, Esq. Michael R. Weinstein, Esq. FERRIS & BRITTON 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 233-3131 Email: stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com dbarker@ferrisbritton.com Attorney for Defendants LARRY GERACI and REBECCA BERRY	Steven W. Blake, Esq. Andrew E. Hall, Esq. BLAKE LAW FIRM 533 2nd Street, Suite 250 Encinitas, CA 92024 Tel: (858) 232-1290 Email: steve@blakelawca.com andrew@blakelawca.com eservice@blakelawca.com Attorney for Defendant STEPHEN LAKE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<p>Natalie T. Nguyen, Esq. NGUYEN LAW CORPORATION 2260 Avenida de la Playa La Jolla, CA 92037 Tel: (858) 757-8577 Email: natalie@nguyenlawcorp.com Defendant NATALIE TRANG-MY NGUYEN PRO SE</p>	<p>Regan Furcolo, Esq. Laura Stewart, Esq. WALSH MCKEAN FURCOLO LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 950 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-8486 Email: rfurcolo@wmflp.com lstewart@wmflp.com dtyson@wmflp.com Defendant JESSICA MCELFRISH</p>
<p>[U.S. Mail] Abhay Schweitzer 2934 Lincoln Avenue San Diego, CA 92104 Tel: (313) 595-5814 Email: Defendant In Pro Per ABHAY SCHWEITZER dba TECHNE</p>	<p>Douglas Jaffe, Esq. 501 West Broadway, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 400-4945 Email: Dougjaffelaw@gmail.com Defendant SALAM RAZUK</p>

I am readily familiar with the firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on **April 12, 2024**, at San Diego, California.



Luis Zamora